### H-theorem for some extensions of the Boltzmann operator

Laurent Desvillettes, Univ. Paris Diderot, IMJ-PRG,

in collaboration with

Maxime Breden, Ecole Polytechnique,

November 13, 2019

直 ト イヨ ト イヨト

3

Laurent Desvillettes, Univ. Paris Diderot, IMJ-PRG, in collaboration with

# Boltzmann operator for the four waves equation of weak turbulence theory (Zakharov)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_W(f)(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W(v, v_*, v', v_*') \left[ f(v') f(v_*') (f(v) + f(v_*)) \right] \\ &- f(v) f(v_*) (f(v') + f(v_*')) \right] \\ &\times \delta_{\{v+v_*=v'+v_*'\}} \, \delta_{\{\omega(v)+\omega(v_*)=\omega(v')+\omega(v_*')\}} \, dv_* dv_*' dv'. \end{aligned}$$

Typical  $\omega$ :

$$\omega(\mathbf{v}) = C |\mathbf{v}|^{\alpha},$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and C > 0.

In particular, in the two-dimensional case,  $\omega(v) = C\sqrt{|v|}$  is used to describe gravitational waves on a fluid surface

#### First part of the H-theorem for the 4-waves operator

Entropy:

$$H(f):=(-)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\ln f(v)\,dv;$$

Entropy production:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_W(f)(v) \, f^{-1}(v) \, dv = \frac{1}{4} \int W(v, v_*, v', v_*') \\ & \times \left[ f^{-1}(v) + f^{-1}(v_*) - f^{-1}(v') - f^{-1}(v_*') \right]^2 \end{split}$$

 $\times f(v)f(v_*)f(v')f(v'_*)\delta_{\{v+v_*=v'+v'_*\}}\delta_{\{\omega(v)+\omega(v_*)=\omega(v')+\omega(v'_*)\}} dv dv_* dv'_* dv'.$ 

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

3

### Definition of equilibria

**Definition**: the equilibria of the 4-waves equation are the functions f > 0 such that when

$$v + v_* = v' + v'_*$$

and

$$\omega(\mathbf{v}) + \omega(\mathbf{v}_*) = \omega(\mathbf{v}') + \omega(\mathbf{v}'_*),$$

one has

$$f^{-1}(v') + f^{-1}(v'_*) = f^{-1}(v) + f^{-1}(v_*),$$

or equivalently, for  $g = f^{-1}$ ,

$$g(v') + g(v'_*) = g(v) + g(v_*).$$

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

It is clear that for all  $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the function

 $g(v) := a + b \cdot v + c\omega(v)$ 

is an equilibrium.

**Expected result** (Second part of H-theorem): All equilibria (in a suitable functional space) have this form [except maybe for a small class of functions  $\omega$ ].

イロン イロン イヨン イロン

3

**Natural functional space** for g: weighted  $L^2$ .

**Case**  $\omega(\mathbf{v}) = |\mathbf{v}|^2$  (Boltzmann equation for monoatomic gases) :

- Proof when g is  $C^2$  (Boltzmann);
- Proof when g is measurable, or a distribution (Truesdell-Muncaster; Wennberg)

**Case**  $\omega(\mathbf{v}) = \sqrt{1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2}$  (Boltzmann equation for relativistic monoatomic gases) :

- Proof when g is  $C^2$  (Cercignani, Kremer);
- Proof when g is a distribution (suggested in Cercignani, Kremer)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

**Theorem** (Breden, LD): Let  $d \in \{2,3\}$  and  $\omega \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\})$ . Assume that there exist  $i, j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , such that

 $\{1, \partial_i \omega, \partial_j \omega\}$  are linearly independant in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\})$ .

Assume also that the boundary  $\partial A$  of

$${\mathcal A}:=\left\{\left({\mathbf v},{\mathbf v}_*
ight)\in \left({\mathbb R}^d
ight)^2, \,\, 
abla\omega({\mathbf v})
eq 
abla\omega({\mathbf v}_*)
ight\}.$$

is of measure 0 in  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^2$ .

Let  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an equilibrium.

Then, there exist  $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that, for a.e. v in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$g(v) = a + b \cdot v + c \,\omega(v).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

We consider only grazing collisions, that is, collisions for which

 $v' \sim v, \qquad v'_* \sim v_*.$ 

Then, the equilibria satisfy the following property (for a.e.  $v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ):

 $(\nabla g(\mathbf{v}) - \nabla g(\mathbf{v}_*)) \times (\nabla \omega(\mathbf{v}) - \nabla \omega(\mathbf{v}_*)) = 0.$ 

This amounts to say that the entropy dissipation of the grazing collision approximation (Landau-type operator) of  $Q_W$  is zero.

The method of proof is then based on ideas taken from the study of Cercignani's conjecture for Landau's equation with Coulomb potential, cf. LD 2015; LD; Carrapatoso, LD, He 2017, using multipliers in the  $v_*$  variable.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

**The assumption**: There exist  $i, j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , such that

 $\{1, \partial_i \omega, \partial_j \omega\}$  are linearly independant in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\})$ 

is close to optimal: when it is not satified for d = 2, counter-examples exist.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

The other assumption is probably technical.

## Boltzmann operator for the three waves equation of weak turbulence theory (Zakharov)

$$Q_{W}(f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left[ R(v, v', v'') - R(v', v, v'') - R(v'', v, v') \right] dv' dv''$$

with

 $R(v, v', v'') = W(v, v', v'') f(v) f(v') f(v'') [f^{-1}(v) - f^{-1}(v') - f^{-1}(v'')]$  $\times \delta_{\{v=v'+v''\}} \delta_{\{\omega(v)=\omega(v')+\omega(v'')\}}$ 

and W satisfying some symmetry assumptions.

#### First part of the H-theorem for the 3-waves operator

Entropy:

$$H(f):=(-)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\ln f(v)\,dv;$$

Entropy production:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_W(f)(v) f^{-1}(v) dv = \int W(v, v', v'')$$
  
×  $f(v)f(v')f(v'') \left[ f^{-1}(v) - f^{-1}(v') - f^{-1}(v'') \right]^2$   
×  $\delta_{\{v=v'+v''\}} \delta_{\{\omega(v)=\omega(v')+\omega(v'')\}} dv dv' dv''.$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

э

### Definition of equilibria

**Definition**: the equilibria of the 3-waves equation are the functions f > 0 such that when

$$v = v' + v''$$

and

$$\omega(\mathbf{v}) = \omega(\mathbf{v}') + \omega(\mathbf{v}''),$$

one has

$$f^{-1}(v) = f^{-1}(v') + f^{-1}(v''),$$

or equivalently, for  $g = f^{-1}$ ,

$$g(v) = g(v') + g(v'').$$

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

It is clear that for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the function

$$g(v) := b \cdot v + c \,\omega(v)$$

is an equilibrium.

**Expected result** (Second part of H-theorem): For suitable functions  $\omega$ , all equilibria (in a suitable functional space) have this form.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

**Natural functional space** for g: weighted  $L^2$ .

**Theorem** (Breden, LD): Let  $d \ge 2$  and  $\omega \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

 $\omega(0)=0, \qquad \nabla \omega(0)=0,$ 

 $\forall v \neq 0, \qquad \omega(v) > 0, \qquad \nabla \omega(v) \neq 0.$ Assume also that  $\omega^{-1}(\{a\})$  is connected for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an equilibrium.

Then, there exist  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that, for all v in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$g(v) = b \cdot v + c \,\omega(v).$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

### Method of proof

**First step**: One first assumes that  $\nabla g(0) = 0$ . Then

 $\nabla g(\mathbf{v}) / / \nabla \omega(\mathbf{v}),$ 

so that

 $g(\mathbf{v}) = \mu(\omega(\mathbf{v}))$ 

for some  $\mu$  which is  $C^1$  on  $\omega(\mathbb{R}) - \{0\}$  and continuous at point 0.

**Second step** The function  $\mu$  is additive on its range:

 $\mu(a+b)=\mu(a)+\mu(b),$ 

so that it is in fact linear, and

 $g(v)=c\,\omega(v).$ 

**Third step** Finally, one considers  $v \mapsto g(v) - \nabla g(0) v$  in order to conclude.

**Empty assumptions**: For  $\omega(v) = |v|^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ , it is not possible to find (nontrivial) v = v' + v'' such that  $\omega(v) = \omega(v') + \omega(v'')$ .

**Borderline case**: For  $\omega(v) = |v|$  in dimension 2, all  $g(r, \theta) = r h(\theta)$  are equilibria.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

One strange case: For  $\omega(v) = \frac{v_1}{1+|v|^2}$  in dimension 2, the function  $g(v) = \arctan\left(\frac{v_1\sqrt{3}+v_2}{|v|^2}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{-v_1\sqrt{3}+v_2}{|v|^2}\right)$  is an equilibrium.

- Better result for the 3-waves equation (current result far from optimal!)
- Spectral gaps for the 3-waves and 4-waves linearized equations

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.