Pietro Rigo
(University of Pavia, Italy)

TWO VERSIONS OF THE FTAP

Patrizia Berti, Luca Pratelli and Pietro Rigo

Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia
Accademia Navale di Livorno
Università di Pavia

Oxford, July 17, 2015

THE PROBLEM

Given

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ probability space

- L linear space of real random variables

say that a probability Q on $\mathcal{A}$ nullifies L if

$$\boxed{E_Q|X| < \infty \text{ and } E_Q(X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in L}$$

We aim to prove the existence of a probability Q on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\boxed{Q \sim P \text{ and } Q \text{ nullifies } L}$$

Such a Q may be finitely additive or σ-additive (depending on the problem at hand)

MOTIVATIONS

A number of problems reduce to the existence of a probability Q nullifying L (possibly, without requesting $Q \sim P$):

- Equivalent martingale measures
- de Finetti's coherence principle
- Equivalent probability measures with given marginals
- Compatibility of conditional distributions
- Stationary and reversible Markov chains

NOTE: All results we are going to state hold even if L is a convex cone only, up to replacing $E_Q(X) = 0$ with $E_Q(X) \leq 0$

EQUIVALENT MARTINGALE MEASURES

Let $(S_t : t \in T)$ be a real process indexed by $T \subset \mathcal{R}$, where $0 \in T$. Suppose S adapted to a filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t : t \in T)$ and S_0 constant. An EMM is precisely a (σ-additive) solution Q of our problem, with L the linear space generated by

$$I_A(S_u - S_t)$$

for all $t, u \in T$ with $t < u$ and $A \in \mathcal{G}_t$

In the sequel, abusing terminology, given **any** linear space L of real random variables, an EMM is meant as a solution Q of our problem (namely, $Q \sim P$ and Q nullifies L)

FIRST VERSION OF THE FTAP

If $L \subset L_\infty$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) There is a **finitely additive** EMM

(b) $\{P \circ X^{-1} : X \in L,\ X \geq -1 \text{ a.s.}\}$ is tight

(c) There are a constant $k \geq 0$ and a probability measure $T \sim P$ such that

$$E_T(X) \leq k \,\text{ess sup}(-X) \quad \text{for all } X \in L$$

(d) $\overline{L - L_\infty^+} \cap L_\infty^+ = \{0\}$ with the closure in the norm-topology of L_∞

REM 1: (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d) has been proved by G. Cassese and D.B. Rokhlin long before us. (It is life !).

REM 2: Since $L \subset L_\infty$, condition (d) agrees with the NFLVR condition of Delbaen and Schachermayer

REM 3: Since $L \subset L_\infty$, there is an EMM if and only if

$\overline{L - L_\infty^+} \cap L_\infty^+ = \{0\}$ with the closure in $\sigma(L_\infty, L_1)$

But the geometric meaning of $\sigma(L_\infty, L_1)$ is not so transparent. Hence, a question is what happens if the closure is taken in the norm-topology. The answer is just given by the previous theorem

REM 4: The assumption $L \subset L_\infty$ can be dropped (but at the price of a less clean characterization)

SECOND VERSION OF THE FTAP

There is an EMM if and only if there are a constant $k \geq 0$ and a probability measure $T \sim P$ such that $E_T|X| < \infty$ and

$$\boxed{E_T(X) \leq k\, E_T(X^-)} \text{ for all } X \in L$$

REM 1: The above condition can be written as

$$\boxed{\sup_X \frac{E_T(X)}{E_T|X|} < 1}$$

where sup is over those $X \in L$ with $P(X \neq 0) > 0$

REM 5: To apply such result in real problems, one has to select T. A natural choice is $T = P$. In fact, the following corollary is available:

There is an EMM Q such that

$\boxed{r\,P \leq Q \leq s\,P}$ for some constants $0 < r \leq s$

if and only if $E_P|X| < \infty$ and

$\boxed{E_P(X) \leq k\,E_P(X^-)}$, $X \in L$, for some constant $k \geq 0$.

Our last result is in the spirit of the previous one (to avoid the choice of T). It is a sort of *localized* version of the FTAP.

Suppose $E_P|X| < \infty$ for all $X \in L$. There is an EMM Q such that

$\boxed{Q \leq s\,P}$ for some constant $s > 0$

if and only if

$\boxed{E_P(X|A_n) \leq k_n\,E_P(X^-)}$, $X \in L$, $n \geq 1$,

for some constants $k_n \geq 0$ and some events $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P(A_n) \to 1$