



**Weierstrass Institute for  
Applied Analysis and Stochastics**



# On some local and nonlocal diffuse interface models

Sergio Frigeri

ERC Group “Entropy Formulation of Evolutionary Phase Transitions”

Supported by the FP7-IDEAS-ERC-StG Grant “EntroPhase”

- The motivation
- Local Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes model
- Nonlocal model for binary fluid flow and phase separation
- Analytical results
- A local diffuse interface model related to tumor growth
- Some open related problems

- An isothermal model for the flow of a **mixture of two**
  - viscous
  - incompressible
  - Newtonian fluids
  - of equal density
- Avoid problems related to interface singularities
  - ⇒ use a **diffuse interface model**
  - ⇒ the classical sharp interface replaced by a **thin interfacial region**
- A partial mixing of the macroscopically immiscible fluids is allowed
  - ⇒  $\varphi$  **is the order parameter**, e.g. the concentration difference

- An isothermal model for the flow of a **mixture of two**
  - viscous
  - incompressible
  - Newtonian fluids
  - of equal density
- Avoid problems related to interface singularities
  - ⇒ use a **diffuse interface model**
  - ⇒ the classical sharp interface replaced by a **thin interfacial region**
- A partial mixing of the macroscopically immiscible fluids is allowed
  - ⇒  $\varphi$  is the **order parameter**, e.g. the concentration difference
- The original idea of diffuse interface model for fluids: HOHENBERG and HALPERIN, '77
  - ⇒ **H-model**
  - Later, GURTIN ET AL., '96: continuum mechanical derivation based on microforces
- Models of two-phase or two-component fluids are receiving growing attention (e.g., ABELS, BOYER, GARCKE, GRÜN, GRASSELLI, LOWENGRUB, TRUSKINOVSKI, ...)

In  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 2, 3$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\epsilon \Delta \varphi + \epsilon^{-1} F'(\varphi)$$

In  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 2, 3$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\epsilon \Delta \varphi + \epsilon^{-1} F'(\varphi)$$

- $\mu$ : **chemical potential** (Cahn-Hilliard), first variation of the (total Helmholtz) free energy

$$E(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(\varphi) \right) dx$$

In  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 2, 3$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\epsilon \Delta \varphi + \epsilon^{-1} F'(\varphi)$$

- $\mu$ : **chemical potential** (Cahn-Hilliard), first variation of the (total Helmholtz) free energy

$$E(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(\varphi) \right) dx$$

- $F$  double-well potential: Helmholtz free energy density

- Singular

$$F(s) = -\frac{\theta_c}{2} s^2 + \frac{\theta}{2} \left( (1+s) \log(1+s) + (1-s) \log(1-s) \right)$$

for all  $s \in (-1, 1)$ , with  $0 < \theta < \theta_c$

- Regular

$$F(s) = (1 - s^2)^2 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

- **Nonlocal free energy** rigorously justified by Giacomini and Lebowitz ('97 & '98) as macroscopic limit of microscopic phase segregation models

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx$$

$J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  interaction kernel s.t.  $J(x) = J(-x)$  (usually nonnegative and radial)



- **Nonlocal free energy** rigorously justified by Giacomini and Lebowitz ('97 & '98) as macroscopic limit of microscopic phase segregation models

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx$$

$J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  interaction kernel s.t.  $J(x) = J(-x)$  (usually nonnegative and radial)

- **Nonlocal chemical potential**

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$(J * \varphi)(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)\varphi(y) dy \quad a(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) dy$$

- **Nonlocal free energy** rigorously justified by Giacomini and Lebowitz ('97 & '98) as macroscopic limit of microscopic phase segregation models

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx$$

$J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  interaction kernel s.t.  $J(x) = J(-x)$  (usually nonnegative and radial)

- **Nonlocal chemical potential**

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$(J * \varphi)(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)\varphi(y) dy \quad a(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) dy$$

- First analytical results on nonlocal CH: Giacomini & Lebowitz '97 and '98; Gajewski '02; Gajewski & Zacharias '03
- Several other contributions on nonlocal Allen-Cahn equations and phase-field systems (notably by Bates et al. and Sprekels et al.)

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div} (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t - 2\operatorname{div}(\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

subject to

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

- Mass is conserved

$$\overline{\varphi(t)} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, t) dx = \overline{\varphi}_0$$

### ■ First mathematical results on nonlocal CHNS systems

#### ■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- $\exists$  global weak sols in 2D-3D (Colli, F. & Grasselli, J. Math. Anal. Appl. '12)
- global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, J. Dynam Differential Equations '12)

#### ■ Constant mobility+singular potential

- $\exists$  global weak sols in 2D-3D; global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. '12)

## ■ First mathematical results on nonlocal CHNS systems

### ■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- $\exists$  **global weak sols in 2D-3D** (Colli, F. & Grasselli, J. Math. Anal. Appl. '12)
- global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, J. Dynam Differential Equations '12)

### ■ Constant mobility+singular potential

- $\exists$  global weak sols in 2D-3D; global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. '12)

## ■ More recent results

### ■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- $\exists$  **global unique strong sols in 2D**, regularity of global attractor in 2D, convergence to equilibria of weak sols in 2D (F, Grasselli & Krejčí, J. Differential Equations '13)

- **More recent results**

- **Degenerate mobility+ singular potential**

- $\exists$  and regularity of global weak sols in 2D-3D, global attractor in 2D (F., Grasselli & Rocca, preprint arXiv '13)

## ■ More recent results

### ■ Degenerate mobility+ singular potential

- $\exists$  and regularity of global weak sols in 2D-3D, global attractor in 2D (F., Grasselli & Rocca, preprint arXiv '13)

### ■ Constant mobility+ regular or singular potential & degenerate mobility + singular potential

#### ■ Uniqueness of global weak sols in 2D

### ■ Constant mobility, nonconstant viscosity +regular potential

- $\exists$  global unique strong sols in 2D, regularity of global attractor in 2D, convergence to equilibria of weak sols in 2D
- weak-strong uniqueness in 2D
- Connectedness and regularity of global attractor,  $\exists$  exponential attractor in 2D.

Last results in: F., Gal & Grasselli, WIAS Preprint '14

**Theorem (Colli, F. & Grasselli '12)**

Assume  $J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and that  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

Then,  $\forall T > 0 \exists$  a weak sol  $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  on  $[0, T]$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^d), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^{4/d}(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)') \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)') \\ \mu &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$



**Theorem (Colli, F. & Grasselli '12)**

Assume  $J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and that  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

Then,  $\forall T > 0 \exists$  a weak sol  $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  on  $[0, T]$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^d), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^{4/d}(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)') \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)') \\ \mu &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the energy inequality (identity if  $d = 2$ )

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\nabla \mu(\tau)\|^2) d\tau \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0) + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u}(\tau) \rangle d\tau,$$

for all  $t > 0$ , where we have set

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega J(x-y) (\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))^2 dx dy + \int_\Omega F(\varphi(t))$$

- **The nonlocal term implies that  $\varphi$  is not as regular as for the standard (local) CHNS system:**  $\varphi \in L^2(H^1)$  (nonlocal), instead of  $\varphi \in L^\infty(H^1)$  (local)  $\implies$  regularity results and uniqueness of weak sols in 2D difficult issues

- **The nonlocal term implies that  $\varphi$  is not as regular as for the standard (local) CHNS system:**  $\varphi \in L^2(H^1)$  (nonlocal), instead of  $\varphi \in L^\infty(H^1)$  (local)  $\implies$  regularity results and uniqueness of weak sols in 2D difficult issues

### Theorem (F., Grasselli & Krejčí '13)

Assume that  $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and that

$$\mathbf{u}_0 \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2 \quad \varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$$

Then,  $\forall T > 0 \exists$  **unique** strong sol  $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^2(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2) \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

- **The nonlocal term implies that  $\varphi$  is not as regular as for the standard (local) CHNS system:**  $\varphi \in L^2(H^1)$  (nonlocal), instead of  $\varphi \in L^\infty(H^1)$  (local)  $\implies$  regularity results and uniqueness of weak sols in 2D difficult issues

### Theorem (F., Grasselli & Krejčí '13)

Assume that  $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and that

$$\mathbf{u}_0 \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2 \quad \varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$$

Then,  $\forall T > 0 \exists$  **unique** strong sol  $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^2(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2) \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Only recently we included (F., Gal & Grasselli, WIAS Preprint '14)

- **Newtonian kernels** :  $J(x) = -k \log|x|$
- **Nonconstant viscosity**:  $\nu = \nu(\varphi)$  with  $0 < \nu_1 \leq \nu(\varphi) \leq \nu_2$

### Constant mobility + regular potentials

#### Theorem (F., Gal & Grasselli '14)

Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then,  $\exists$  a **unique** weak sol  $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  corresponding to  $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$

## Constant mobility + regular potentials

## Theorem (F., Gal &amp; Grasselli '14)

Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then,  $\exists$  a **unique** weak sol  $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  corresponding to  $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$

## Degenerate mobility + singular potential

- $\varphi$ -dependent mobility in the original derivation of CH eq. (J.W. Cahn & J.E. Hilliard, 1971). Thermodynamically reasonable choice:  $m(\varphi) = k(1 - \varphi^2)$
- Key assumption (cf. [Elliot & Garcke '96], [Gajewski & Zacharias '03], [Giacomin & Lebowitz '97,'98]):  $mF'' \in C([-1, 1])$

## Theorem (F., Gal &amp; Grasselli '14)

Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $M(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then,  $\exists$  a **unique** weak sol  $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  corresponding to  $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$

$M \in C^2(-1, 1)$  is s.t.  $m(s)M''(s) = 1$  for all  $s \in (-1, 1)$  and  $M(0) = M'(0) = 0$

- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term  $-\varphi \nabla \mu$  which, for weak sols is only in  $L^2(L^{4/3})$

- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term  $-\varphi \nabla \mu$  which, for weak sols is only in  $L^2(L^{4/3})$
- Idea: by redefining the pressure  $\pi$ , the Korteweg force  $\mu \nabla \varphi$  can be rewritten as  $-(\nabla a/2)\varphi^2 - (J * \varphi)\nabla \varphi \implies$  uniqueness by means of some technical arguments (Gagliardo-Nirenberg in 2D)



- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term  $-\varphi \nabla \mu$  which, for weak sols is only in  $L^2(L^{4/3})$
- Idea: by redefining the pressure  $\pi$ , the Korteweg force  $\mu \nabla \varphi$  can be rewritten as  $-(\nabla a/2)\varphi^2 - (J * \varphi)\nabla \varphi \implies$  uniqueness by means of some technical arguments (Gagliardo-Nirenberg in 2D)
- A continuous dependence estimate in  $L^2_{div} \times (H^1)'$  also holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathbf{u}_2(t) - \mathbf{u}_1(t) \|^2 + \| \varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t) \|_{(H^1)'}^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \left( c_0 \| \varphi_2(\tau) - \varphi_1(\tau) \|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \| \nabla (\mathbf{u}_2(\tau) - \mathbf{u}_1(\tau)) \|^2 \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \Gamma_1(t) (\| \mathbf{u}_{02} - \mathbf{u}_{01} \|^2 + \| \varphi_{02} - \varphi_{01} \|_{(H^1)'}^2) + C_\eta \Gamma_2(t) | \bar{\varphi}_{02} - \bar{\varphi}_{01} | \end{aligned}$$

$| \bar{\varphi}_{01} |, | \bar{\varphi}_{02} | \leq \eta$ , with  $\Gamma_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$  depending on weak sols norms

- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term  $-\varphi \nabla \mu$  which, for weak sols is only in  $L^2(L^{4/3})$
- Idea: by redefining the pressure  $\pi$ , the Korteweg force  $\mu \nabla \varphi$  can be rewritten as  $-(\nabla a/2)\varphi^2 - (J * \varphi)\nabla \varphi \implies$  uniqueness by means of some technical arguments (Gagliardo-Nirenberg in 2D)
- A continuous dependence estimate in  $L^2_{div} \times (H^1)'$  also holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathbf{u}_2(t) - \mathbf{u}_1(t) \|^2 + \| \varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t) \|_{(H^1)'}^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \left( c_0 \| \varphi_2(\tau) - \varphi_1(\tau) \|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \| \nabla (\mathbf{u}_2(\tau) - \mathbf{u}_1(\tau)) \|^2 \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \Gamma_1(t) (\| \mathbf{u}_{02} - \mathbf{u}_{01} \|^2 + \| \varphi_{02} - \varphi_{01} \|_{(H^1)'}^2) + C_\eta \Gamma_2(t) | \bar{\varphi}_{02} - \bar{\varphi}_{01} | \end{aligned}$$

$| \bar{\varphi}_{01} |, | \bar{\varphi}_{02} | \leq \eta$ , with  $\Gamma_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$  depending on weak sols norms

- **Uniqueness of sol and  $\exists$  of the global attractor for the local CH with degenerate mobility are open issues**

## Consequences

- the nonlocal CHNS system generates a **semigroup**  $S(t)$  of *closed* operators:

$[\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)] = S(t)[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$  on the (metric) phase-space

$$\mathcal{X}_\eta = L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_\eta \quad \mathcal{Y}_\eta = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) : F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq \eta\}$$

### Consequences

- the nonlocal CHNS system generates a **semigroup**  $S(t)$  of *closed* operators:

$[\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)] = S(t)[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$  on the (metric) phase-space

$$\mathcal{X}_\eta = L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_\eta \quad \mathcal{Y}_\eta = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) : F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq \eta\}$$

- The global attractor in  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  for  $S_\eta(t)$  is **connected**

## Consequences

- the nonlocal CHNS system generates a **semigroup**  $S(t)$  of *closed* operators:

$[\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)] = S(t)[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$  on the (metric) phase-space

$$\mathcal{X}_\eta = L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_\eta \quad \mathcal{Y}_\eta = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) : F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq \eta\}$$

- The global attractor in  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  for  $S_\eta(t)$  is **connected**
- By establishing a **smoothing property** for the difference of two sols in  $L^2_{div} \times L^2$

## Theorem (F., Gal &amp; Grasselli '14)

For every  $\eta \geq 0$  the dynamical system  $(\mathcal{X}_\eta, S(t))$  possesses an **exponential attractor**  $\mathcal{M}_\eta$ , i.e., a compact set in  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  s.t.

- (i) *Positively invariance:*  $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \forall t \geq 0$
- (ii) *Finite dimensionality:*  $\dim_F \mathcal{M} < \infty$
- (iii) *Exponential attraction:*  $\exists J : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  increasing and  $\kappa > 0$  s.t.,  $\forall R > 0$  and  $\forall \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{X}_\eta$  with  $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}(z, 0) \leq R$  there holds

$$\text{dist}(S(t)\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}) \leq J(R)e^{-\kappa t}$$

### ■ Optimal control for nonlocal CHNS

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div} (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{v}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

The external force  $\mathbf{v}$  is the control function.

### ■ Optimal control for nonlocal CHNS

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div} (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{v}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

The external force  $\mathbf{v}$  is the control function.

### ■ Cost functional

$$\begin{aligned} J(y, v) := & \frac{\beta_1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |u - u_Q|^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\varphi - \varphi_Q|^2 \\ & + \frac{\beta_3}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(T) - u_{\Omega}|^2 + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} v^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $y = [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$  (the state) is the weak sol to the nonlocal CHNS corresponding to the control  $v \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \subset L^\infty(Q)$  (and with smooth initial data).

### ■ Aim: first order necessary conditions for existence of optimal control

(In progress with E. Rocca & J. Sprekels)

- In literature there are numbers of diffuse interface models describing tumor dynamics, in particular the interactions among the different species, i.e. viable, necrotic tumor cells and host cells (multicomponent model)



- In literature there are numbers of diffuse interface models describing tumor dynamics, in particular the interactions among the different species, i.e. viable, necrotic tumor cells and host cells (multicomponent model)
- Much work done on the modellistic and numerical viewpoint, but **very few analytical results** (Wang & Zhang '12, Wang & Wu '12, Lowengrub, Titti & Zhao '13, Colli, Gilardi & Hilhorst preprint '14).

- In literature there are numbers of diffuse interface models describing tumor dynamics, in particular the interactions among the different species, i.e. viable, necrotic tumor cells and host cells (multicomponent model)
- Much work done on the modellistic and numerical viewpoint, but **very few analytical results** (Wang & Zhang '12, Wang & Wu '12, Lowengrub, Titti & Zhao '13, Colli, Gilardi & Hilhorst preprint '14).

### A diffuse interface model developed by Hawkins Daarud, van der Zee and Oden.

- $\varphi$ : **tumor cell concentration** ( $\varphi = 1$  tumorous cell,  $\varphi = -1$  healthy cell phases)
- $\mu$ : **chemical potential**
- $\psi$ : **nutrient concentration** (density of an extra-cellular water phase)

$$\varphi_t = \Delta\mu + p(\varphi)(\psi - \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\Delta\varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$\psi_t = \Delta\psi - p(\varphi)(\psi - \mu)$$

$$\partial_n\varphi = \partial_n\mu = \partial_n\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi_0, \quad \psi(0) = \psi_0$$

- Double-well Helmholtz **free energy density**  $F$  (accounting for cell-cell adhesion)

$$F(s) = (1 - s^2)^2$$

- **Proliferation function**  $p \geq 0$

$$p(s) = \begin{cases} p_0(1 - s^2) & s \in [-1, 1] \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

- Double-well Helmholtz **free energy density**  $F$  (accounting for cell-cell adhesion)

$$F(s) = (1 - s^2)^2$$

- **Proliferation function**  $p \geq 0$

$$p(s) = \begin{cases} p_0(1 - s^2) & s \in [-1, 1] \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

- **Energy balance**

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(\varphi, \psi) + \|\nabla \mu\|^2 + \|\nabla \psi\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} p(\varphi)(\mu - \psi)^2 = 0$$

$$E(\varphi, \psi) := \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi)$$

- **Total mass conservation**

$$\overline{\varphi(t)} + \overline{\psi(t)} = \overline{\varphi_0} + \overline{\psi_0}$$

- Existence and uniqueness of weak sols

**Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca)**

Assume that  $\varphi_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then,  $\forall T > 0 \exists$  a **unique** weak solution  $[\varphi, \psi]$  on  $[0, T]$  s.t.

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega)), \quad \varphi_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)')$$

$$\mu \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

$$\psi \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \quad \psi_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)')$$

satisfying the energy identity. Moreover, if  $[\varphi_{0i}, \psi_{0i}] \in H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , then

$$\|\varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t)\|_{(H^1)'} + \|\psi_2(t) - \psi_1(t)\|_{(H^1)'} \leq \Lambda(\|\varphi_{02} - \varphi_{01}\|_{(H^1)'} + \|\psi_{02} - \psi_{01}\|_{(H^1)'})$$

- **Regularity result** (assuming, i.e.,  $\varphi_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$  and  $\psi_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ )
- **Existence of the global attractor**

### ■ Nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes

- unmatched densities (Abels, Garcke & Grün '12 for the local CHNS)
- compressible models
- **non-isothermal model(s)**  
(Eleuteri, Rocca & Schimperna preprint '14 for the local CHNS)
- multicomponent models

### ■ Nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes

- unmatched densities (Abels, Garcke & Grün '12 for the local CHNS)
- compressible models
- **non-isothermal model(s)**  
(Eleuteri, Rocca & Schimperna preprint '14 for the local CHNS)
- multicomponent models

### ■ Tumor dynamics

- coupling with Darcy laws  
(Cahn-Hilliard-Hele-Shaw **multicomponent** models, cfr. Lowengrub et al. '08 & '10)
- singular potentials and degenerate mobilities