



**Weierstrass Institute for
Applied Analysis and Stochastics**



Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems with nonlocal interactions and optimal control

Sergio Frigeri

ERC Group “Entropy Formulation of Evolutionary Phase Transitions”

Supported by the FP7-IDEAS-ERC-StG Grant “EntroPhase”

- An isothermal model for the flow of a **mixture of two**
 - viscous
 - incompressible
 - Newtonian fluids
 - of equal density

- Avoid problems related to interface singularities
 - ⇒ use a **diffuse interface model**
 - ⇒ the classical sharp interface replaced by a **thin interfacial region**

- A partial mixing of the macroscopically immiscible fluids is allowed
 - ⇒ φ **is the order parameter**, e.g. the concentration difference

- An isothermal model for the flow of a **mixture of two**
 - viscous
 - incompressible
 - Newtonian fluids
 - of equal density
- Avoid problems related to interface singularities
 - ⇒ use a **diffuse interface model**
 - ⇒ the classical sharp interface replaced by a **thin interfacial region**
- A partial mixing of the macroscopically immiscible fluids is allowed
 - ⇒ φ **is the order parameter**, e.g. the concentration difference
- The original idea of diffuse interface model for fluids: HOHENBERG and HALPERIN, '77
 - ⇒ **H-model**
 - Later, GURTIN ET AL., '96: continuum mechanical derivation based on microforces
- Models of two-phase or two-component fluids are receiving growing attention (e.g., ABELS, BOYER, GARCKE, GRÜN, GRASSELLI, LOWENGRUB, TRUSKINOVSKI, ...)

In $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2, 3$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{v}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\epsilon \Delta \varphi + \epsilon^{-1} F'(\varphi)$$

In $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2, 3$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{v}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\epsilon \Delta \varphi + \epsilon^{-1} F'(\varphi)$$

- μ : **chemical potential** (Cahn-Hilliard), first variation of the (total Helmholtz) free energy

$$E(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(\varphi) \right) dx$$

In $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2, 3$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{v}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\epsilon \Delta \varphi + \epsilon^{-1} F'(\varphi)$$

- μ : **chemical potential** (Cahn-Hilliard), first variation of the (total Helmholtz) free energy

$$E(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(\varphi) \right) dx$$

- F double-well potential: Helmholtz free energy density

- Singular

$$F(s) = -\frac{\theta_c}{2} s^2 + \frac{\theta}{2} \left((1+s) \log(1+s) + (1-s) \log(1-s) \right)$$

for all $s \in (-1, 1)$, with $0 < \theta < \theta_c$

- Regular

$$F(s) = (1 - s^2)^2 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

- **Nonlocal free energy** rigorously justified by Giacomini and Lebowitz ('97 & '98) as macroscopic limit of microscopic phase segregation models

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx$$

$J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ interaction kernel s.t. $J(x) = J(-x)$ (usually nonnegative and radial)

- **Nonlocal free energy** rigorously justified by Giacomini and Lebowitz ('97 & '98) as macroscopic limit of microscopic phase segregation models

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx$$

$J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ interaction kernel s.t. $J(x) = J(-x)$ (usually nonnegative and radial)

- **Nonlocal chemical potential**

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$(J * \varphi)(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)\varphi(y) dy \quad a(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) dy$$

- **Nonlocal free energy** rigorously justified by Giacomini and Lebowitz ('97 & '98) as macroscopic limit of microscopic phase segregation models

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx$$

$J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ interaction kernel s.t. $J(x) = J(-x)$ (usually nonnegative and radial)

- **Nonlocal chemical potential**

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$(J * \varphi)(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)\varphi(y) dy \quad a(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) dy$$

- First analytical results on nonlocal CH: Giacomini & Lebowitz '97 and '98; Gajewski '02; Gajewski & Zacharias '03
- Several other contributions on nonlocal Allen-Cahn equations and phase-field systems (notably by Bates et al. and Sprekels et al.)

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div} (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t - 2\operatorname{div}(\nu(\varphi) D\mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{v}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

subject to

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

- Mass is conserved

$$\overline{\varphi(t)} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, t) dx = \overline{\varphi}_0$$

■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- \exists **global weak sols in 2D-3D** (Colli, F. & Grasselli, J. Math. Anal. Appl. '12)
- global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, J. Dynam Differential Equations '12)

■ Constant mobility+singular potential

- \exists global weak sols in 2D-3D; global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. '12)

■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- \exists **global weak sols in 2D-3D** (Colli, F. & Grasselli, J. Math. Anal. Appl. '12)
- global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, J. Dynam. Differential Equations '12)

■ Constant mobility+singular potential

- \exists global weak sols in 2D-3D; global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. '12)

■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- \exists **global unique strong sols in 2D**, regularity of global attractor in 2D, convergence to equilibria of weak sols in 2D (F, Grasselli & Krejčí, J. Differential Equations '13)

■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- \exists **global weak sols in 2D-3D** (Colli, F. & Grasselli, J. Math. Anal. Appl. '12)
- global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, J. Dynam Differential Equations '12)

■ Constant mobility+singular potential

- \exists global weak sols in 2D-3D; global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. '12)

■ Constant mobility+ regular potential

- \exists **global unique strong sols in 2D**, regularity of global attractor in 2D, convergence to equilibria of weak sols in 2D (F, Grasselli & Krejčí, J. Differential Equations '13)

■ Degenerate mobility+ singular potential

- \exists and regularity of global weak sols in 2D-3D, global attractor in 2D (F., Grasselli & Rocca, preprint arXiv '13)

More recent results

- **Constant mobility+ regular or singular potential & degenerate mobility + singular potential**
 - **Uniqueness of global weak sols in 2D**
- **Constant mobility, nonconstant viscosity +regular potential**
 - \exists global unique strong sols in 2D, regularity of global attractor in 2D, convergence to equilibria of weak sols in 2D
 - weak-strong uniqueness in 2D
 - Connectedness and regularity of global attractor, \exists exponential attractor in 2D.

Last results in: F., Gal & Grasselli, WIAS Preprint '14

Theorem (Colli, F. & Grasselli '12)

Assume $J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)')$, $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L_{div}^2(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, $\forall T > 0 \exists$ a weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^d), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^{4/d}(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)') \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)') \\ \mu &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Colli, F. & Grasselli '12)

Assume $J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)')$, $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L_{div}^2(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, $\forall T > 0 \exists$ a weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^d), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^{4/d}(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)') \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)') \\ \mu &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the energy inequality (identity if $d = 2$)

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\nabla \mu(\tau)\|^2) d\tau \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0) + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{v}(\tau), \mathbf{u}(\tau) \rangle d\tau$$

for all $t > 0$, where we have set

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) (\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(t))$$

Theorem (Colli, F. & Grasselli '12)

Assume $J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)')$, $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L_{div}^2(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, $\forall T > 0 \exists$ a weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^d), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^{4/d}(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)') \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)') \\ \mu &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the energy inequality (identity if $d = 2$)

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\nabla \mu(\tau)\|^2) d\tau \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0) + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{v}(\tau), \mathbf{u}(\tau) \rangle d\tau$$

for all $t > 0$, where we have set

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega J(x-y) (\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))^2 dx dy + \int_\Omega F(\varphi(t))$$

- **The nonlocal term implies that φ is not as regular as for the standard (local) CHNS system:** $\varphi \in L^2(H^1)$ (nonlocal), instead of $\varphi \in L^\infty(H^1)$ (local) \implies regularity results and uniqueness of weak sols in 2D difficult issues

- We need stronger assumptions on J . In particular $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ or J **admissible**

Definition (J. Bedrossian, N. Rodríguez & A. Bertozzi '11)

A kernel $J \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is admissible if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (A1) $J \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$;
- (A2) J is radially symmetric, $J(x) = \tilde{J}(|x|)$ and \tilde{J} is non-increasing;
- (A3) $\tilde{J}''(r)$ and $\tilde{J}'(r)/r$ are monotone on $(0, r_0)$ for some $r_0 > 0$;
- (A4) $|D^3 J(x)| \leq C_d |x|^{-d-1}$ for some $C_d > 0$

Newtonian and Bessel kernels are admissible for all $d \geq 2$

- We need stronger assumptions on J . In particular $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ or J **admissible**

Definition (J. Bedrossian, N. Rodríguez & A. Bertozzi '11)

A kernel $J \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is admissible if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (A1) $J \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$;
- (A2) J is radially symmetric, $J(x) = \tilde{J}(|x|)$ and \tilde{J} is non-increasing;
- (A3) $\tilde{J}''(r)$ and $\tilde{J}'(r)/r$ are monotone on $(0, r_0)$ for some $r_0 > 0$;
- (A4) $|D^3 J(x)| \leq C_d |x|^{-d-1}$ for some $C_d > 0$

Newtonian and Bessel kernels are admissible for all $d \geq 2$

Lemma (J. Bedrossian, N. Rodríguez & A. Bertozzi '11)

Let J be admissible and $\chi = \nabla J * \psi$. Then, for all $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla \chi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_p \|\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Krejčí '13)

Assume that $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ or J admissible and that

$$\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2 \quad \varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$$

Then, $\forall T > 0 \exists$ **unique** strong sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^2(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Krejčí '13)

Assume that $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ or J admissible and that

$$\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2 \quad \varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$$

Then, $\forall T > 0 \exists$ **unique** strong sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2), & \mathbf{u}_t &\in L^2(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \\ \varphi &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), & \varphi_t &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Only recently (F., Gal & Grasselli, WIAS Preprint '14) we included

■ **Nonconstant viscosity**

$$\nu = \nu(\varphi), \quad \nu \text{ loc. Lipschitz on } \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \nu_1 \leq \nu(\varphi) \leq \nu_2$$

Constant mobility + regular potentials

Theorem (F., Gal & Grasselli '14)

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, \exists a **unique** weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ corresponding to $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$

Constant mobility + regular potentials

Theorem (F., Gal & Grasselli '14)

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, \exists a **unique** weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ corresponding to $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$

Degenerate mobility + singular potential

- φ -dependent mobility in the original derivation of CH eq. (J.W. Cahn & J.E. Hilliard, 1971). Thermodynamically reasonable choice: $m(\varphi) = k(1 - \varphi^2)$
- Key assumption (cf. [Elliot & Garcke '96], [Gajewski & Zacharias '03], [Giacomin & Lebowitz '97,'98]): $mF'' \in C([-1, 1])$

Theorem (F., Gal & Grasselli '14)

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $M(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, \exists a **unique** weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ corresponding to $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$

$M \in C^2(-1, 1)$ is s.t. $m(s)M''(s) = 1$ for all $s \in (-1, 1)$ and $M(0) = M'(0) = 0$

- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term $-\varphi \nabla \mu$ which, for weak sols is only in $L^2(L^{4/3})$

- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term $-\varphi \nabla \mu$ which, for weak sols is only in $L^2(L^{4/3})$
- Idea: by redefining the pressure π , the Korteweg force $\mu \nabla \varphi$ can be rewritten as $-(\nabla a/2)\varphi^2 - (J * \varphi)\nabla \varphi \implies$ uniqueness by means of some technical arguments (Gagliardo-Nirenberg in 2D)

- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term $-\varphi \nabla \mu$ which, for weak sols is only in $L^2(L^{4/3})$
- Idea: by redefining the pressure π , the Korteweg force $\mu \nabla \varphi$ can be rewritten as $-(\nabla a/2)\varphi^2 - (J * \varphi)\nabla \varphi \implies$ uniqueness by means of some technical arguments (Gagliardo-Nirenberg in 2D)
- A continuous dependence estimate for weak sols in $L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times (H^1(\Omega))'$ also holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathbf{u}_2(t) - \mathbf{u}_1(t) \|^2 + \| \varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t) \|_{(H^1(\Omega))'}^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \left(c_0 \| \varphi_2(\tau) - \varphi_1(\tau) \|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \| \nabla(\mathbf{u}_2(\tau) - \mathbf{u}_1(\tau)) \|^2 \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \Gamma_1(t) (\| \mathbf{u}_{02} - \mathbf{u}_{01} \|^2 + \| \varphi_{02} - \varphi_{01} \|_{(H^1(\Omega))'}^2) + C_\eta \Gamma_2(t) | \bar{\varphi}_{02} - \bar{\varphi}_{01} | \end{aligned}$$

$| \bar{\varphi}_{01} |, | \bar{\varphi}_{02} | \leq \eta$, with $\Gamma_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ depending on weak sols norms

- Difficulty: dealing with the Korteweg force term $-\varphi \nabla \mu$ which, for weak sols is only in $L^2(L^{4/3})$
- Idea: by redefining the pressure π , the Korteweg force $\mu \nabla \varphi$ can be rewritten as $-(\nabla a/2)\varphi^2 - (J * \varphi)\nabla \varphi \implies$ uniqueness by means of some technical arguments (Gagliardo-Nirenberg in 2D)
- A continuous dependence estimate for weak sols in $L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times (H^1(\Omega))'$ also holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathbf{u}_2(t) - \mathbf{u}_1(t) \|^2 + \| \varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t) \|_{(H^1(\Omega))'}^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \left(c_0 \| \varphi_2(\tau) - \varphi_1(\tau) \|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \| \nabla(\mathbf{u}_2(\tau) - \mathbf{u}_1(\tau)) \|^2 \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \Gamma_1(t) (\| \mathbf{u}_{02} - \mathbf{u}_{01} \|^2 + \| \varphi_{02} - \varphi_{01} \|_{(H^1(\Omega))'}^2) + C_\eta \Gamma_2(t) | \bar{\varphi}_{02} - \bar{\varphi}_{01} | \end{aligned}$$

$|\bar{\varphi}_{01}|, |\bar{\varphi}_{02}| \leq \eta$, with $\Gamma_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ depending on weak sols norms

- **Uniqueness of sol and \exists of the global attractor for the local CH with degenerate mobility are open issues**

Consequences

- the nonlocal CHNS system generates a **semigroup** $S(t)$ of *closed* operators:

$[\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)] = S(t)[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$ on the (metric) phase-space

$$\mathcal{X}_\eta = L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_\eta \quad \mathcal{Y}_\eta = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) : F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq \eta\}$$

Consequences

- the nonlocal CHNS system generates a **semigroup** $S(t)$ of *closed* operators:

$[\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)] = S(t)[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$ on the (metric) phase-space

$$\mathcal{X}_\eta = L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_\eta \quad \mathcal{Y}_\eta = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) : F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq \eta\}$$

- The global attractor in \mathcal{X}_η for $S_\eta(t)$ is **connected**

Consequences

- the nonlocal CHNS system generates a **semigroup** $S(t)$ of *closed* operators:

$[\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)] = S(t)[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$ on the (metric) phase-space

$$\mathcal{X}_\eta = L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_\eta \quad \mathcal{Y}_\eta = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) : F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq \eta\}$$

- The global attractor in \mathcal{X}_η for $S_\eta(t)$ is **connected**
- Smoothing property** for the difference of two sols in $L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)$

Theorem (F., Gal & Grasselli '14)

For every $\eta \geq 0$ the dynamical system $(\mathcal{X}_\eta, S(t))$ possesses an **exponential attractor** \mathcal{M}_η , i.e., a compact set in \mathcal{X}_η s.t.

- (i) *Positively invariance*: $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \forall t \geq 0$
- (ii) *Finite dimensionality*: $\dim_F \mathcal{M} < \infty$
- (iii) *Exponential attraction*: $\exists J : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ increasing and $\kappa > 0$ s.t., $\forall R > 0$ and $\forall \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{X}_\eta$ with $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}(z, 0) \leq R$ there holds

$$\text{dist}(S(t)\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}) \leq J(R)e^{-\kappa t}$$

Constant mobility+regular potential

Problem (CP): minimize the **cost functional**

$$J(y, \mathbf{v}) := \frac{\beta_1}{2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_Q\|_{L^2(Q)^2}^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{2} \|\varphi - \varphi_Q\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \frac{\beta_3}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{u}_\Omega\|^2 \\ + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \|\varphi(T) - \varphi_\Omega\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(Q)^2}^2$$

where $y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ solves

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi &= \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{v} \\ \varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi &= \Delta \mu \\ \mu &= a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi) \\ \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) &= 0 \\ \partial_n \mu = 0 \quad \mathbf{u} = 0 &\quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 & \end{aligned}$$

(nlocCHNS)

and the external body force density \mathbf{v} , which plays the role of the **control**, belongs to a suitable closed, bounded and convex subset of the **space of controls**

$$\mathcal{V} := L^2(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)$$

- Introducing the space

$$\mathcal{H} := [L^\infty(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)] \times L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$$

then, the **control-to-state map**

$$S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto S(\mathbf{v}) := y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi] \in \mathcal{H}$$

where $y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ is the unique strong sol to Problem **(nloc CHNS)** corresponding to $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ and to fixed initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, is well defined

- Introducing the space

$$\mathcal{H} := [L^\infty(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)] \times L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$$

then, the **control-to-state map**

$$S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto S(\mathbf{v}) := y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi] \in \mathcal{H}$$

where $y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ is the unique strong sol to Problem **(nloc CHNS)** corresponding to $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ and to fixed initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, is well defined

- Set of **admissible controls**

$$\mathcal{V}_{ad} := \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} : v_{a,i}(x, t) \leq v_i(x, t) \leq v_{b,i}(x, t), \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in Q, \ i = 1, 2 \}$$

with $\mathbf{v}_a, \mathbf{v}_b \in \mathcal{V} \cap L^\infty(Q)^2$ prescribed

- Introducing the space

$$\mathcal{H} := [L^\infty(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)] \times L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$$

then, the **control-to-state map**

$$S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto S(\mathbf{v}) := y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi] \in \mathcal{H}$$

where $y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ is the unique strong sol to Problem **(nloc CHNS)** corresponding to $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ and to fixed initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, is well defined

- Set of **admissible controls**

$$\mathcal{V}_{ad} := \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} : v_{a,i}(x, t) \leq v_i(x, t) \leq v_{b,i}(x, t), \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in Q, i = 1, 2 \}$$

with $\mathbf{v}_a, \mathbf{v}_b \in \mathcal{V} \cap L^\infty(Q)^2$ prescribed

- Introducing the **reduced cost functional** $f(\mathbf{v}) := J(S(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$, for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$, then

$$\text{(CP)} \iff \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}} f(\mathbf{v})$$

- Introducing the space

$$\mathcal{H} := [L^\infty(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)] \times L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$$

then, the **control-to-state map**

$$S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto S(\mathbf{v}) := y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi] \in \mathcal{H}$$

where $y := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ is the unique strong sol to Problem (**nloc CHNS**) corresponding to $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ and to fixed initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, is well defined

- Set of **admissible controls**

$$\mathcal{V}_{ad} := \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} : v_{a,i}(x, t) \leq v_i(x, t) \leq v_{b,i}(x, t), \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in Q, i = 1, 2 \}$$

with $\mathbf{v}_a, \mathbf{v}_b \in \mathcal{V} \cap L^\infty(Q)^2$ prescribed

- Introducing the **reduced cost functional** $f(\mathbf{v}) := J(S(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$, for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$, then

$$(\mathbf{CP}) \iff \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}} f(\mathbf{v})$$

Theorem

Problem (**CP**) admits a sol $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$, with associated state $\bar{y} := [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] := S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$

- **Aim:** deduce **first order necessary conditions for existence of the optimal control**

- **Aim:** deduce **first order necessary conditions for existence of the optimal control**
- We need to establish **suitable differentiability properties** of the control-to-state map

- **Aim:** deduce **first order necessary conditions for existence of the optimal control**
- We need to establish **suitable differentiability properties** of the control-to-state map
- To this purpose we consider the **linearized system** at $\bar{y} := [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] := S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$

$$\xi_t - \nu \Delta \xi + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \xi + (\xi \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \tilde{\pi} = (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta) \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\mu} \nabla \eta + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\eta_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \eta = -\xi \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \Delta (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi) = 0$$

$$\xi = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$\xi(0) = \eta(0) = 0$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\mu} = a\bar{\varphi} - J * \bar{\varphi} + F'(\bar{\varphi})$$

- **Aim:** deduce **first order necessary conditions for existence of the optimal control**
- We need to establish **suitable differentiability properties** of the control-to-state map
- To this purpose we consider the **linearized system** at $\bar{y} := [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] := S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$

$$\xi_t - \nu \Delta \xi + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \xi + (\xi \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \tilde{\pi} = (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta) \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\mu} \nabla \eta + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\eta_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \eta = -\xi \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \Delta (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi) = 0$$

$$\xi = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$\xi(0) = \eta(0) = 0$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\mu} = a\bar{\varphi} - J * \bar{\varphi} + F'(\bar{\varphi})$$

Proposition

For every $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{V}$ the linearized problem above has a unique sol satisfying

$$\xi \in C([0, T]; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2), \quad \eta \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

- **Aim:** deduce **first order necessary conditions for existence of the optimal control**
- We need to establish **suitable differentiability properties** of the control-to-state map
- To this purpose we consider the **linearized system** at $\bar{y} := [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] := S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$

$$\xi_t - \nu \Delta \xi + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \xi + (\xi \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \tilde{\pi} = (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta) \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\mu} \nabla \eta + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\eta_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \eta = -\xi \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \Delta (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi) = 0$$

$$\xi = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} (a\eta - J * \eta + F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$\xi(0) = \eta(0) = 0$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\mu} = a\bar{\varphi} - J * \bar{\varphi} + F'(\bar{\varphi})$$

Proposition

For every $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{V}$ the linearized problem above has a unique sol satisfying

$$\xi \in C([0, T]; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2), \quad \eta \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

Remark. States $\bar{y} = [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}]$ need to be **strong sols** to (nloc CHNS)

Differentiability of the control-to-state operator. Set

$$\mathcal{Z} := [C([0, T]; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2)] \times [C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))]$$

Theorem

The control-to-state operator $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is Frechét differentiable on \mathcal{V} and the Frechét derivative $S'(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Z})$ is given by

$$S'(\bar{\mathbf{v}})\mathbf{k} = [\boldsymbol{\xi}^k, \eta^k], \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{V},$$

where $[\boldsymbol{\xi}^k, \eta^k]$ is the unique sol to the linearized system at $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] = S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$ and corresponding to $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{V}$

Key tool for the proof: **stability estimates**

Lemma (Stability estimate I — F., Gal & Grasselli '14)

Let $\mathbf{u}_{0i} := \mathbf{u}_i(0) \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_{0i} := \varphi_i(0) \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in L^2(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)$ and let $[\mathbf{u}_i, \varphi_i]$ be the corresponding (unique) strong sols, $i = 1, 2$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ & + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))}^2 \leq \Lambda_1 (\|\mathbf{u}_{20} - \mathbf{u}_{10}\|^2 + \|\varphi_{20} - \varphi_{10}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{01}\|, \|\varphi_{01}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{02}\|, \|\varphi_{02}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_2\|_{\mathcal{V}})$$

Key tool for the proof: **stability estimates**

Lemma (Stability estimate I — F., Gal & Grasselli '14)

Let $\mathbf{u}_{0i} := \mathbf{u}_i(0) \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_{0i} := \varphi_i(0) \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in L^2(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)$ and let $[\mathbf{u}_i, \varphi_i]$ be the corresponding (unique) strong sols, $i = 1, 2$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ & + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))}^2 \leq \Lambda_1 (\|\mathbf{u}_{20} - \mathbf{u}_{10}\|^2 + \|\varphi_{20} - \varphi_{10}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{01}\|, \|\varphi_{01}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{02}\|, \|\varphi_{02}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_2\|_{\mathcal{V}})$$

Remak. To prove Frechét differentiability of $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ we need an **improved** stability estimate

Key tool for the proof: **stability estimates**

Lemma (Stability estimate II)

Let $\mathbf{u}_{0i} := \mathbf{u}_i(0) \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_{0i} := \varphi_i(0) \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in L^2(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)$ and let $[\mathbf{u}_i, \varphi_i]$ be the corresponding (unique) strong sols, $i = 1, 2$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))}^2 \\ & + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \Lambda_2 (\|\mathbf{u}_{20} - \mathbf{u}_{10}\|^2 + \|\varphi_{20} - \varphi_{10}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{01}\|, \|\varphi_{01}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{02}\|, \|\varphi_{02}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_2\|_{\mathcal{V}})$$

Key tool for the proof: **stability estimates**

Lemma (Stability estimate II)

Let $\mathbf{u}_{0i} := \mathbf{u}_i(0) \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2$, $\varphi_{0i} := \varphi_i(0) \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in L^2(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)$ and let $[\mathbf{u}_i, \varphi_i]$ be the corresponding (unique) strong sols, $i = 1, 2$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L_{div}^2(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))}^2 \\ & + \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \Lambda_2 (\|\mathbf{u}_{20} - \mathbf{u}_{10}\|^2 + \|\varphi_{20} - \varphi_{10}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{01}\|, \|\varphi_{01}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{02}\|, \|\varphi_{02}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\mathbf{v}_2\|_{\mathcal{V}})$$

Sketch of the proof of differentiability of $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}$ be fixed, $\bar{\mathbf{y}} := [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] = S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$, and consider a perturbation $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{V}$. Set

$$\mathbf{y}^h := [\mathbf{u}^h, \varphi^h] := S(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mathbf{h})$$

$$\mathbf{p}^h := \mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^h, \quad \mathbf{q}^h := \varphi^h - \bar{\varphi} - \eta^h$$

■ Then, \mathbf{p}^h, q^h solve

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{p}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{p} + (\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{p} + ((\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}) + \nabla \pi^h \\
 & = a(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) - (J * (\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi})) \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) + (aq - J * q) \nabla \bar{\varphi} \\
 & + (a\bar{\varphi} - J * \bar{\varphi}) \nabla q + (F'(\varphi^h) - F'(\bar{\varphi})) \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) + F'(\bar{\varphi}) \nabla q \\
 & + (F'(\varphi^h) - F'(\bar{\varphi}) - F''(\bar{\varphi}) \eta^h) \nabla \bar{\varphi} \tag{0.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q_t + (\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) + \mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla q \\
 & = \Delta (aq - J * q + F'(\varphi^h) - F'(\bar{\varphi}) - F''(\bar{\varphi}) \eta^h) \tag{0.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Then, \mathbf{p}^h, q^h solve

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{p}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{p} + (\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{p} + ((\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}) + \nabla \pi^h \\
 & = a(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) - (J * (\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi})) \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) + (aq - J * q) \nabla \bar{\varphi} \\
 & + (a\bar{\varphi} - J * \bar{\varphi}) \nabla q + (F'(\varphi^h) - F'(\bar{\varphi})) \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) + F'(\bar{\varphi}) \nabla q \\
 & + (F'(\varphi^h) - F'(\bar{\varphi}) - F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta^h) \nabla \bar{\varphi}
 \end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q_t + (\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}) + \mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla q \\
 & = \Delta(aq - J * q + F'(\varphi^h) - F'(\bar{\varphi}) - F''(\bar{\varphi})\eta^h)
 \end{aligned} \tag{0.2}$$

- Let us test (0.1) by \mathbf{p} in $L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2$ and (0.2) by q in $L^2(\Omega)$. After some technical arguments we are led to

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\mathbf{p}^h\|^2 + \|q^h\|^2) + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{p}^h\|^2 + c_0 \|\nabla q^h\|^2 \leq \alpha(t) \|\mathbf{p}^h\|^2 + \bar{\Gamma} \|q^h\|^2 + \beta_h(t)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|, \|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|_\nu)$$

and $\alpha, \beta_{\mathbf{h}} \in L^1(0, T)$ given by

$$\alpha := \bar{\Gamma} (1 + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^2(\Omega)^2}^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\mathbf{h}} := & \bar{\Gamma} (\|\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}\|^2 \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 + \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|^2 \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 \|\nabla(\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi})\|^2 + \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha, \beta_{\mathbf{h}} \in L^1(0, T)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &:= \bar{\Gamma}(1 + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^2(\Omega)^2}^2) \\ \beta_{\mathbf{h}} &:= \bar{\Gamma}(\|\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}\|^2 \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 + \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|^2 \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 \|\nabla(\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi})\|^2 + \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \|\varphi^{\mathbf{h}} - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2)\end{aligned}$$

■ Thanks to Stability estimate II we have

$$\int_0^T \beta_{\mathbf{h}}(t) dt \leq \bar{\Gamma} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^4$$

and $\alpha, \beta_h \in L^1(0, T)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &:= \bar{\Gamma}(1 + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^2(\Omega)^2}^2) \\ \beta_h &:= \bar{\Gamma}(\|\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}\|^2 \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 + \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|^2 \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 \|\nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi})\|^2 + \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2)\end{aligned}$$

■ Thanks to Stability estimate II we have

$$\int_0^T \beta_h(t) dt \leq \bar{\Gamma} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^4$$

and so by Gronwall lemma ($\mathbf{p}^h(0) = \mathbf{q}^h(0) = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{p}^h\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \nu \|\mathbf{p}^h\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{q}^h\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ + c_0 \|\mathbf{q}^h\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))}^2 \leq \bar{\Gamma} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^4\end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha, \beta_h \in L^1(0, T)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &:= \bar{\Gamma}(1 + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^2(\Omega)^2}^2) \\ \beta_h &:= \bar{\Gamma}(\|\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}}\|^2 \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 + \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|^2 \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}^h - \bar{\mathbf{u}})\|^2 \|\nabla(\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi})\|^2 + \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \|\varphi^h - \bar{\varphi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

■ Thanks to Stability estimate II we have

$$\int_0^T \beta_h(t) dt \leq \bar{\Gamma} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^4$$

and so by Gronwall lemma ($\mathbf{p}^h(0) = \mathbf{q}^h(0) = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbf{p}^h\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \nu \|\mathbf{p}^h\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{q}^h\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &+ c_0 \|\mathbf{q}^h\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}^2 \leq \bar{\Gamma} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies \frac{\|S(\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mathbf{h}) - S(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) - [\boldsymbol{\xi}^h, \eta^h]\|_{\mathcal{Z}}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathcal{V}}} \leq \bar{\Gamma} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mathbf{h} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{V}$$

Remark. The weaker differentiability property of the control-to-state map from \mathcal{V} with values in

$$[C([0, T]; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2)] \times [C([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)') \cap L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))]$$

easier to establish: test (0.2) by $(-\Delta)^{-1}q$ and use only Stability estimate I

Remark. The weaker differentiability property of the control-to-state map from \mathcal{V} with values in $[C([0, T]; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2)] \times [C([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)') \cap L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))]$ easier to establish: test (0.2) by $(-\Delta)^{-1}q$ and use only Stability estimate I

Nevertheless, with this weaker differentiability we get necessary conditions for existence of the optimal control for the control problem associated to the "incomplete" cost functional

$$J(y, \mathbf{v}) := \frac{\beta_1}{2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_Q\|_{L^2(Q)^2}^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{2} \|\varphi - \varphi_Q\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \frac{\beta_3}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{u}_\Omega\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(Q)^2}^2$$

If $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$ is an optimal control for Problem **(CP)**, then

$$f'(\bar{\mathbf{v}})(\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$$

If $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$ is an optimal control for Problem **(CP)**, then

$$f'(\bar{\mathbf{v}})(\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$$

But

$$f'(\mathbf{v}) = J'_y(S(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})S'(\mathbf{v}) + J'_v(S(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$$

and hence the Frechét differentiability result for $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ yields

If $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$ is an optimal control for Problem **(CP)**, then

$$f'(\bar{\mathbf{v}})(\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$$

But

$$f'(\mathbf{v}) = J'_y(S(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})S'(\mathbf{v}) + J'_v(S(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$$

and hence the Frechét differentiability result for $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ yields

Corollary

Let $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$ be an optimal control for Problem **(CP)** with associated state

$\bar{\mathbf{y}} = [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] := S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_1 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_Q) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^h + \beta_2 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\bar{\varphi} - \varphi_Q) \eta^h + \beta_3 \int_{\Omega} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}(T) - \mathbf{u}_{\Omega}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^h(T) \\ & + \beta_4 \int_{\Omega} (\bar{\varphi}(T) - \varphi_{\Omega}) \eta^h(T) + \gamma \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad} \end{aligned}$$

where $[\boldsymbol{\xi}^h, \eta^h]$ is the unique sol to the linearized system corresponding to $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}$

Aim: eliminate ξ^h, η^h from the previous inequality. Hence, introduce the **adjoint system**

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_t = -\nu \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{p}} - (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{p}} + (\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla^T) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{q} \nabla \bar{\varphi} - \beta_1 (\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_Q)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_t = & - (a \Delta \tilde{q} + \nabla J * \nabla \tilde{q} + F''(\bar{\varphi}) \Delta \tilde{q}) - \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{q} \\ & - (a \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} - J * (\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi}) + F'''(\bar{\varphi}) \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi}) + \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\mu} - \beta_2 (\bar{\varphi} - \varphi_Q) \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = 0$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(T) = \beta_3 (\bar{\mathbf{u}}(T) - \mathbf{u}_\Omega), \quad \tilde{q}(T) = \beta_4 (\bar{\varphi}(T) - \varphi_\Omega)$$

Aim: eliminate ξ^h, η^h from the previous inequality. Hence, introduce the **adjoint system**

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_t = -\nu \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{p}} - (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{p}} + (\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla^T) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{q} \nabla \bar{\varphi} - \beta_1 (\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_Q)$$

$$\tilde{q}_t = - (a \Delta \tilde{q} + \nabla J * \nabla \tilde{q} + F''(\bar{\varphi}) \Delta \tilde{q}) - \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{q}$$

$$- (a \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} - J * (\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi}) + F''(\bar{\varphi}) \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi}) + \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\mu} - \beta_2 (\bar{\varphi} - \varphi_Q)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = 0$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(T) = \beta_3 (\bar{\mathbf{u}}(T) - \mathbf{u}_\Omega), \quad \tilde{q}(T) = \beta_4 (\bar{\varphi}(T) - \varphi_\Omega)$$

Proposition

The adjoint system has a unique weak sol $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{q}$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \in C([0, T]; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2), \quad \tilde{q} \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

Theorem

Let $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$ be an optimal control for Problem **(CP)** with associated state $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] = S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$ and adjoint state $[\tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}]$. Then

$$\gamma \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$$

Theorem

Let $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$ be an optimal control for Problem **(CP)** with associated state $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] = S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$ and adjoint state $[\tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}]$. Then

$$\gamma \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$$

- The system **(nloc CHNS)**, written for $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}]$, the adjoint system and the above variational inequality form together the first order necessary optimality conditions

Theorem

Let $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$ be an optimal control for Problem **(CP)** with associated state $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = [\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}] = S(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$ and adjoint state $[\tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}]$. Then

$$\gamma \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{ad}$$

- The system **(nloc CHNS)**, written for $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\varphi}]$, the adjoint system and the above variational inequality form together the first order necessary optimality conditions
- Since \mathcal{V}_{ad} is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $L^2(Q)^2$, then the above variational inequality with $\gamma > 0$ is equivalent to

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}} = P_{\mathcal{V}_{ad}} \left(-\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}}{\gamma} \right)$$

where $P_{\mathcal{V}_{ad}}$ is the orthogonal projector in $L^2(Q)^2$ onto \mathcal{V}_{ad}

- Optimal control for nonlocal CHNS in 2D with degenerate mobility+singular potential
- unmatched densities (Abels, Garcke & Grün '12 for the local CHNS)
- compressible models
- **non-isothermal model(s)**
(Eleuteri, Rocca & Schimperna preprint '14 for the local CHNS)
- multicomponent models