

Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems with nonlocal interactions

Sergio Frigeri¹

¹Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Enriques”
Università degli Studi di Milano
www.mat.unimi.it/users/frigeri

Nona Giornata di Studio
Politecnico di Milano-Università di Pavia
Equazioni Differenziali e Calcolo delle Variazioni
Pavia, 23 maggio 2013

Supported by the FP7-IDEAS-ERC-StG Grant “EntroPhase”



Local model for multi-phase flow: a review

- Model H (Hohenberg and Halperin) Flow of viscous incompressible Newtonian macroscopically immiscible fluids (two phases A, B)
- Phase-field methods postulate the existence of a "diffuse interface" of partial mixing with thickness measured by $\epsilon > 0$ (*diffusive interface model*)
- An order parameter φ (concentration of A-component) and a mixing energy E in terms of φ and its spatial gradient are introduced
- State variables

φ = order parameter

\mathbf{u} = velocity field

Local Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems

In $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2, 3$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = -\epsilon \Delta \varphi + \epsilon^{-1} F'(\varphi)$$

μ chemical potential, first variation of the (total Helmholtz) free energy

$$E(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(\varphi) \right) dx$$

Free energy of a nonuniform system introduced by J.W. Cahn & J.E. Hilliard (1958)

- Rigorous derivation by Gurtin, Polignone and Viñals '96
- $m(\varphi)$ non-constant mobility

Local Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems

- $(\epsilon/2)|\nabla\varphi|^2$ free energy increase due to presence of two components
- F double-well potential: Helmholtz free energy density of A-component

- Regular

$$F(s) = (1 - s^2)^2 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Singular (J.W. Cahn & J.E. Hilliard '58)

$$F(s) = -\frac{\theta_c}{2}s^2 + \frac{\theta}{2}((1+s)\log(1+s) + (1-s)\log(1-s))$$

for all $s \in (-1, 1)$, with $0 < \theta < \theta_c$

- Math. results by Starovoitov ('97), Boyer ('99), Abels '09, Abels & Feireisl '08 (\exists weak and strong sols, uniqueness and regularity) and by Abels '09, Gal & Grasselli '09, Zhao, Wu & Huang '09 (convergence to single equilibria), Abels '09, Gal & Grasselli '09, '10 and '11 (attractors)

Nonlocal model for binary fluid motion

- **Nonlocal free energy** (van der Waals) suggested by Giacomini and Lebowitz ('97 & '98) and rigorously justified as macroscopic limit of microscopic phase segregation models (lattice gas with long range Kac potentials: interaction en. between $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is $\gamma^d J(\gamma(x - y))$, $\gamma \rightarrow 0$)

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(x)) dx$$

$J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an interaction kernel s.t. $J(x) = J(-x)$ (usually nonnegative and radial). E.g. $J(x) = j_3 |x|^{-1}$ in 3D, $J(x) = -j_2 \log |x|$ in 2D

- **Nonlocal chemical potential**

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$(J * \varphi)(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y)\varphi(y) dy, \quad a(x) := \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) dy$$

Nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes systems

Consider in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded, $d = 2, 3$)

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div} (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = a\varphi - \mathbf{J} * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mu \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

subject to

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega$$

- Mass is conserved

$$\overline{\varphi(t)} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, t) dx = \overline{\varphi_0}$$

Some literature on nonlocal models

- Cahn-Hilliard equation: Giacomini & Lebowitz '97 and '98; Chen & Fife '00; Gajewski '02; Gajewski & Zacharias '03; Han '04; **Bates & Han '05** ; Colli, Krejčí, Rocca & Sprekels '07; **Londen & Petzeltová '11**
- Navier-Stokes-Korteweg systems (liquid-vapour phase transitions): Rohde '05
- several other contributions on nonlocal Allen-Cahn equations and phase-field systems (notably by Bates et al. and Sprekels et al.)

First mathematical results on nonlocal CHNS

- Existence of dissipative global weak sols in 2D-3D with regular (polynomial growth of arbitrary order) potentials and constant mobility (Colli, F. & Grasselli, J. Math. Anal. Appl. '12)
- Asymptotic behavior of weak sols in 2D (global attractor for the associated generalized semiflow) and in 3D (trajectory attractor) with regular potential and constant mobility (F. & Grasselli, J. Dynam Differential Equations '12)
- Singular potentials: existence of weak sols in 2D-3D with constant mobility and asymptotic behavior, i.e., global attractor in 2D and trajectory attractor in 3D (F. & Grasselli, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. '12)

- **Assumptions on kernel and external force**

$$J \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad a(x) = \int_{\Omega} J(x-y) dy \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{h} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_{div}(\Omega)') \quad \mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$$

- **Notion of weak sol**

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $0 < T < +\infty$ be given. Then a couple $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ is a weak sol to the nonlocal CHNS system on $[0, T]$ if

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^d)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t \in L^{4/d}(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)'),$$

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

$$\varphi_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)')$$

$$\mu \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

∃ weak sols (regular potential, constant mobility)

and for all $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$, for all $\mathbf{v} \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^d$ and for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$

$$\langle \varphi_t, \psi \rangle + (\nabla \mu, \nabla \psi) = (\mathbf{u}, \varphi \nabla \psi)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \nu (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = -(\mathbf{v}, \varphi \nabla \mu) + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

with

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0$$

where

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

and

$$b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) := \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^d$$

\exists weak sols (regular potential, constant mobility)

Theorem (Colli, F. & Grasselli '11)

Assume $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, for every $T > 0 \exists$ a weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ which satisfies the energy inequality (identity if $d = 2$) for all $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\nabla \mu(\tau)\|^2) d\tau \\ \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0) + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u}(\tau) \rangle d\tau \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 \\ + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{J}(x-y) (\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi(t)) \end{aligned}$$

Remarks (regular potential, constant mobility)

- All results hold for more general double-well regular potentials F , i.e., for F **with polynomial growth of arbitrary order**
- **Main difficulty:** the nonlocal term implies that φ is not as regular as for the standard (local) CHNS system

$$\varphi \in L^2(H^1) \text{ (nonlocal), instead of } \varphi \in L^\infty(H^1) \text{ (local)}$$

- **Consequence:** regularity results (higher order estimates in 2D and 3D) and uniqueness of weak sols in 2D difficult issues

\exists weak sols (singular potential, constant mobility)

Theorem (F. & Grasselli '12)

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. In addition, assume that $|\overline{\varphi_0}| < 1$. Then, for every $T > 0 \exists$ a weak sol $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ corresponding to $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$ s.t. $\overline{\varphi}(t) = \overline{\varphi_0}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(Q), \quad |\varphi(x, t)| < 1 \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in Q := \Omega \times (0, T)$$

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$$

where $p \leq 6$ if $d = 3$ and $p < \infty$ if $d = 2$. Furthermore, the energy inequality holds and, if $d = 2$, every weak sol satisfies the energy identity

• Idea of the proof

- Approximate problem with regular potential F_ϵ
- Uniform (w.r.t. ϵ) estimates for the approximate sol $z_\epsilon = [\mathbf{u}_\epsilon, \varphi_\epsilon]$
- Use $|\overline{\varphi_0}| < 1$ to control the averages $\{\overline{\mu}_\epsilon\}$
- Pass to the limit $z_\epsilon \rightarrow z$
- Use $F'(s) \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $s \rightarrow \pm 1$ to show that $|\varphi| < 1$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and hence that $z = [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ is indeed a sol

• Remarks

- All results hold for more general double-well singular potentials satisfying $F'(s) \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $s \rightarrow \pm 1$
- No pure phases are admitted

Asymptotic behavior in 2D

Regular or singular potentials (constant mobility): by relying on the energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(z) + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla \mu\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \quad \forall t > 0$$

Corollary (Colli, F. & Grasselli '11)

If $\mathbf{h} \in L^2_{tb}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_{div}(\Omega)')$, i.e.

$$\|\mathbf{h}\|_{L^2_{tb}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_{div}(\Omega)')}^2 := \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_t^{t+1} \|\mathbf{h}(\tau)\|_{H^1_{div}(\Omega)'}^2 d\tau < \infty$$

then every weak sol $z = [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ satisfies the dissipative estimate

$$\mathcal{E}(z(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(z_0) e^{-kt} + F(\bar{\varphi}_0)|\Omega| + K \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

with $k, K \geq 0$ independent of $z_0 := [\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$

Generalized semiflows (Ball '97)

Definition

Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be metric space, a family of maps $z : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a **generalized semiflow** \mathcal{G} if

- existence: $\forall z_0 \in \mathcal{X}, \exists z \in \mathcal{G}$ s.t. $z(0) = z_0$
- translates of elements of \mathcal{G} still belong to \mathcal{G}
- concatenation property holds
- upper semicontinuity w.r.t. initial data: if $z_j \in \mathcal{G}$ with $z_j(0) \rightarrow z_0$, then \exists subsequence z_{j_k} and $z \in \mathcal{G}$ s.t. $z(0) = z_0$ and $z_{j_k}(t) \rightarrow z(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$

$$T(t)\Theta = \{z(t) : z \in \mathcal{G}, z(0) \in \Theta\}, \quad \forall \Theta \subset \mathcal{X}$$

Definition

$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is the global attractor for \mathcal{G} if it is compact, fully invariant and attracts all bounded subsets B of \mathcal{X} , i.e. $\text{dist}(T(t)B, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0$

Asymptotic behavior in 2D

Existence of the global attractor (autonomous case)

- For $m_0 \geq 0$ given, introduce the *phase space*

$$\mathcal{X}_{m_0} = L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_{m_0}$$

where, for regular potential

$$\mathcal{Y}_{m_0} = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega) : F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq m_0\}$$

and for singular potential

$$\mathcal{Y}_{m_0} := \{\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega) : |\varphi| < 1, F(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq m_0\}$$

- Let \mathcal{G}_{m_0} be the set of all weak sols corresponding to all initial data $z_0 = [\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0] \in \mathcal{X}_{m_0}$

Theorem (F. & Grasselli '11, '12)

Let $\mathbf{h} \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)'$. Then \mathcal{G}_{m_0} is a generalized semiflow on \mathcal{X}_{m_0} which possesses the global attractor

Remark: true for other sing. pots. F , provided F bdd. on $(-1, 1)$

Regular or singular potentials (constant mobility)

- the above dissipative estimate still holds for all weak sols satisfying the energy inequality between s and t , for $t \geq s$ (F. & Grasselli '11)

Trajectory attractor approach (Chepyzhov & Vishik)

- phase space is a space of trajectories $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}_+}^+(\mathbf{h}_0)$: all weak sols satisfying the energy inequality. On $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}_+}^+(\mathbf{h}_0)$ translation semigroup $\{T(t)\}$ acts
- the attraction of the trajectory attractor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathbf{h}_0)$ is w.r.t. a suitable weak topology Θ_{loc}^+ for the family of bounded (in a suitable norm or metric) subsets of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}_+}^+(\mathbf{h}_0)$

Theorem (F. & Grasselli '11, '12)

$\{T(t)\}$ acting on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}_+}^+(\mathbf{h}_0)$ possesses the uniform (w.r.t. $h \in \mathcal{H}_+(\mathbf{h}_0)$) trajectory attractor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathbf{h}_0)$. This set is strictly invariant, compact in Θ_{loc}^+ . In addition, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}_+}^+(\mathbf{h}_0)$ is closed in Θ_{loc}^+ , and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathbf{h}_0) \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}_+}^+(\mathbf{h}_0)$

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Krejčí '13)

Let $\mathbf{h} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2)$ and in addition $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If

$$\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2 \quad \varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$$

then, for every given $T > 0$, \exists **unique** strong sol $z := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t \in L^2(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2)$$

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$$

$$\varphi_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

Moreover, a continuous dependence estimate w.r.t. data $\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0, \mathbf{h}$ in $L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2 \times H^1(\Omega)' \times L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^2)$ holds

An idea of the proof

- 1) The fact that $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and NS regularity in 2D \Rightarrow regularity for \mathbf{u}
- 2) (Nonlocal CH) $\times \mu_t$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and use the above regularity to get

$$\|\nabla \mu\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\varphi_t\|^2 d\tau \leq \|\nabla \mu_0\|^2 + C + \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) \|\nabla \mu(\tau)\|^2 d\tau$$

where $\alpha \in L^1(0, T)$ and C depend on $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|$, $\|\varphi_0\|_{H^2}$, T .
Hence

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \quad \varphi_t \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$$

Strong sols in 2D (reg. pot., const. mob.)

- 3) (Nonlocal CH) $_{t \times \mu_t}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and use regularity at point 1). By means of *some technical arguments* (Gagliardo-Nirenberg in 2D) we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (a + F''(\varphi)) \varphi_t^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mu_t\|^2 \leq \beta(t) \|\varphi_t\|^2 + C \|\varphi_t\|^4 + \gamma(t)$$

with $\beta, \gamma \in L^1(0, T)$. Then, use a nonlinear Gronwall lemma

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w'(t) \leq C_1(1 + w^2(t)) \\ \int_0^T w(\tau) d\tau \leq C_2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow w(t) \leq C_3 = C_3(w(0), C_1, C_2, T)$$

and the improved regularity at point 2) to get

$$\varphi_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

- 4) By comparison in the nonlocal CH we get $\mu \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ and finally, using assumption $J \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we get

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$$

Strong sols in 2D (reg. pot., const. mob.)

- **Regularization in finite time of weak sols**

if $z_0 = [\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0] \in \mathcal{X}_{m_0}$, then for every $\tau > 0 \exists s_\tau \in (0, \tau]$ s.t. $z(s_\tau) \in \mathcal{X}_{m_0}^1$, where

$$\mathcal{X}_{m_0}^1 := H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2 \times \mathcal{Y}_{m_0}^1 \quad \mathcal{Y}_{m_0}^1 := \{\psi \in H^2(\Omega) : |\bar{\psi}| \leq m_0\}$$

Starting from s_τ the weak sol corresponding to z_0 becomes a (unique) strong sol $z \in C([s_\tau, \infty); \mathcal{X}_{m_0}^1)$.

The regularization is also uniform w.r.t. bdd in \mathcal{X}_{m_0} sets of initial data. Indeed

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Krejčí '13)

$\exists \Lambda(m_0) > 0$ s.t. for every $z_0 \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^2 \times H^2(\Omega)$ with $|\bar{\varphi}_0| \leq m_0$
 $\exists t^* = t^*(\mathcal{E}(z_0))$ s.t. the strong sol corresponding to z_0 satisfies

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\| + \|\varphi(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \int_t^{t+1} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{H^2(\Omega)^2} \leq \Lambda(m_0) \quad \forall t \geq t^*$$

Strong sols in 2D (reg. pot., const. mob.)

Take $z_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ bdd subset of \mathcal{X}_{m_0} and $\tau = 1$. Then $\exists t^* = t^*(\mathcal{B})$ s.t. $z(t) \in B_{\mathcal{X}_{m_0}^1}(0, \Lambda(m_0))$ for all $t \geq t^*$

\Rightarrow **regularity of the global attractor**

$$\mathcal{A}_{m_0} \subset B_{\mathcal{X}_{m_0}^1}(0, \Lambda(m_0))$$

- **Convergence to equilibria of weak sols**

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Krejčí '13)

Take $z_0 \in \mathcal{X}_{m_0}$ and let $z \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{X}_{m_0})$ be a corresponding weak sol. Then

$$\emptyset \neq \omega(z) \subset \mathcal{E}_{m_0}$$

and $\exists t^* = t^*(z_0)$ s.t. the trajectory $\cup_{t \geq t^*} \{z(t)\}$ is precompact in \mathcal{X}_{m_0} . Moreover $\exists z_\infty \in \mathcal{E}_{m_0}$ s.t.

$$z(t) \rightarrow z_\infty \quad \text{in } \mathcal{X}_{m_0} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

Strong sols in 2D (reg. pot., const. mob.)

Set of stationary sols

$$\mathcal{E}_{m_0} := \left\{ z_\infty = [\mathbf{0}, \varphi_\infty] : \varphi_\infty \in L^2(\Omega), \quad F(\varphi_\infty) \in L^1(\Omega), \quad |\bar{\varphi}_\infty| \leq m_0, \right. \\ \left. a\varphi_\infty - \mathbf{J} * \varphi_\infty + F'(\varphi_\infty) = \mu_\infty, \quad \mu_\infty = \overline{F'(\varphi_\infty)} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \right\}$$

- The result holds also for more general *analytic* potentials with polynomial growth of arbitrary order
- Main tool: generalized Łojasiewicz-Simon inequality: let $[\varphi_\infty, \mu_\infty] \in U \times \{\text{const}\}$ satisfy $DE(\varphi_\infty) = \mu_\infty$, where U is a neighbourhood of zero in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$E(\varphi) := \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{a}\varphi\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\varphi, \mathbf{J} * \varphi) + \int_{\Omega} F(\varphi)$$

Then, $\exists \sigma, \lambda > 0, \theta \in (0, 1/2]$ s.t.

$$|E(\varphi) - E(\varphi_\infty)|^{1-\theta} \leq \lambda \inf\{\|DE(\varphi) - \mu\|, \mu = \text{const}\}$$

for all $\varphi \in U$ s.t. $\bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}_\infty$ and $\|\varphi - \varphi_\infty\| < \sigma$

Non degenerate mobility, regular potential

Assumption: $m \in C_{loc}^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\exists m_1, m_2 > 0$ s.t.

$$m_1 \leq m(s) \leq m_2 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Weak formulation: $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ weak sol if \mathbf{u}, φ have the same regularity properties as for the const. mobility nonlocal CHNS

$$\langle \varphi_t, \psi \rangle + (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu, \nabla \psi) = (\mathbf{u} \varphi, \nabla \psi) \quad \forall \psi \in H^1(\Omega)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \nu(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = -(\varphi \nabla \mu, \mathbf{v}) + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H_{div}^1$$

$$\mu = a\varphi - J * \varphi + F'(\varphi) \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \quad \forall T > 0$$

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca '13)

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ s.t. $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, \exists weak sol $z = [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ corresponding to $z_0 = [\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$ and satisfying the energy inequality (equality if $d = 2$)

$$\mathcal{E}(z(t)) + \int_0^t \left(\nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\sqrt{m(\varphi)} \nabla \mu\|^2 \right) d\tau \leq \mathcal{E}(z_0) + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle d\tau$$

Degenerate mobility, singular potential

Relevant case: mobility m **degenerates at ± 1** and **singular double-well potential F** on $(-1, 1)$ (e.g. logarithmic like).

- φ -dependent mobility in the original derivation of CH eq. (J.W. Cahn & J.E. Hilliard, 1971). Thermodynamically reasonable choice

$$m(\varphi) = k(1 - \varphi^2)$$

- Other mobilities and singular potentials can be considered. Key assumption (cf. [Elliot & Garcke '96], [Gajewski & Zacharias '03], [Giacomin & Lebowitz '97,'98])

$$mF'' \in C([-1, 1])$$

Degenerate mobility, singular potential

- Another example

$$m(\varphi) = k(\varphi)(1 - \varphi^2)^n \quad F(\varphi) = -k_1\varphi^2 + F_1''(\varphi)$$

where $k \in C([-1, 1])$ bdd and non degenerate, F_1 convex
s.t. $F_1''(\varphi) = l(\varphi)(1 - \varphi^2)^{-n}$, $n \geq 1$, $l \in C^1([-1, 1])$

- Math. results on local/nonlocal CH/CHNS with variable mobility
 - local CH eq., non degenerate mobility: Barrett & Blowey '99 (\exists and uniqueness in 2D, \exists in 3D), Liu, Qi & Yin '06 (regularity in 2D), Schimperna '07 (global attractor in 3D)
 - local CH eq., degenerate mobility: Elliot & Garcke '96 (\exists), Schimperna & Zelik '13 (\exists , asymptotic behavior, separation)
 - nonlocal CH eq., degenerate mobility: Giacomini & Lebowitz '97,'98, Gajewski & Zacharias '03 (\exists and uniqueness), Londen & Petzeltová '11, '11 (conv. to eq., separation)
 - local CHNS, degenerate mobility: Boyer '99 (\exists), Abels, Depner & Garcke '13 (unmatched densities, \exists)

Notion of weak sol: we are not able to control $\nabla\mu$ in some L^p space; hence we reformulate the definition of weak sol in such a way that μ does not appear any more.

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d$, $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $0 < T < +\infty$ be given. A couple $[\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ is a weak solution on $[0, T]$ corresponding to $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$ if

- \mathbf{u}, φ satisfy

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^d),$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t \in L^{4/3}(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)'), \quad \text{if } d = 3,$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1_{div}(\Omega)'), \quad \text{if } d = 2,$$

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$\varphi_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)')$$

and

$$\varphi \in L^\infty(Q_T), \quad |\varphi(x, t)| \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_T := \Omega \times (0, T)$$

- for every $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$, every $\mathbf{v} \in H_{div}^1(\Omega)^d$ and for almost any $t \in (0, T)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi_t, \psi \rangle + \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) F''(\varphi) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) a \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi \\ & + \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) (\varphi \nabla a - \nabla J * \varphi) \cdot \nabla \psi = (\mathbf{u}_\varphi, \nabla \psi) \\ & \langle \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \nu (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = ((a\varphi - J * \varphi) \nabla \varphi, \mathbf{v}) + \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ & \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca '13)

Let $M \in C^2(-1, 1)$ s.t. $m(s)M''(s) = 1$, $M(0) = M'(0) = 0$. Let

$$\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{\text{div}}(\Omega)^d, \quad \varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega) \quad F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega) \quad M(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Then, for every $T > 0 \exists$ a weak sol $z := [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ on $[0, T]$ corresponding to $[\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0]$ s.t. $\bar{\varphi}(t) = \bar{\varphi}_0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$, with $p \leq 6$ for $d = 3$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$ for $d = 2$. In addition, z satisfies the energetic inequality (identity if $d = 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 + \|\varphi(t)\|^2) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega m(\varphi) F''(\varphi) |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \int_0^t \int_\Omega a m(\varphi) |\nabla \varphi|^2 \\ & + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{u}_0\|^2 + \|\varphi_0\|^2) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega (a\varphi - \mathbf{J} * \varphi) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi \\ & + \int_0^t \int_\Omega m(\varphi) (\nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi - \varphi \nabla \mathbf{a}) \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \quad \forall t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

A comparison with the constant mobility case

- Condition $|\bar{\varphi}_0| < 1$ not required (only less strict condition $|\bar{\varphi}_0| \leq 1$): this is due to the different weak sol formulation w.r.t. the case of constant mobility
- Therefore, if F is bounded (e.g. F is the log pot) and at $t = 0$ the fluid is in a pure phase, i.e. $\varphi_0 = 1$ a.e. in Ω , and furthermore $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}(0)$ is given in $L^2(\Omega)_{div}^d$, then the couple

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, t) \quad \varphi = \varphi(x, t) = 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad \text{a.a. } t,$$

where \mathbf{u} is a sol of NS with non-slip b.c. explicitly satisfies the weak formulation

- This possibility is excluded in the model with constant mobility, since in such model the chemical potential μ (and hence $F'(\varphi)$) appears explicitly

The degenerate vs. the strongly degenerate mobility

- If $m'(1) \neq 0$ and $m'(-1) \neq 0$, then F and M are bdd in $[-1, 1] \Rightarrow$ conditions $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $M(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfied by every φ_0 s.t. $|\varphi_0| \leq 1$ in $\Omega \Rightarrow$ *existence of pure phases allowed*
- If $m'(1) = m'(-1) = 0$ (*strongly degenerate mobility*), then conditions $F(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $M(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ imply that $\{x \in \Omega : \varphi_0(x) = 1\}$ and $\{x \in \Omega : \varphi_0(x) = -1\}$ have both measure zero ($\Rightarrow |\bar{\varphi}_0| < 1$). Furthermore, also $\{x \in \Omega : \varphi(x, t) = 1\}$ and $\{x \in \Omega : \varphi(x, t) = -1\}$ have both measure zero for a.a. $t > 0 \Rightarrow$ *existence of pure phases not allowed* (even on subsets of Ω of positive measure)

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca '13)

Assume that

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla J(x - y)|^{\kappa} dy < \infty$$

where $\kappa = 6/5$ if $d = 3$ and $\kappa > 1$ if $d = 2$. Let φ_0 be s.t.

$$F'(\varphi_0) \in L^2(\Omega)$$

Then, \exists weak sol $z = [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ that also satisfies

$$\mu \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \nabla \mu \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^d)$$

As a consequence, $z = [\mathbf{u}, \varphi]$ also satisfies the weak formulation and the energy inequality (identity for $d = 2$) of the non degenerate mobility case.

- Let \mathcal{G}_{m_0} be the set of all weak sols corresponding to all initial data $z_0 = [\mathbf{u}_0, \varphi_0] \in \mathcal{X}_{m_0}$, where, for $m_0 \in [0, 1]$

$$\mathcal{X}_{m_0} = L^2_{div}(\Omega)^d \times \mathcal{Y}_{m_0}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{m_0} := \{\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega) : |\varphi| \leq 1, F(\varphi), M(\varphi) \in L^1(\Omega), |\bar{\varphi}| \leq m_0\}$$

The metric on \mathcal{X}_{m_0} is

$$\mathbf{d}(z_1, z_2) = \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\| + \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \quad \forall z_i = [\mathbf{u}_i, \varphi_i] \in \mathcal{X}_{m_0}, i = 1, 2$$

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca '13)

Let $\mathbf{h} \in H^1_{div}(\Omega)'$. Then \mathcal{G}_{m_0} is a generalized semiflow on \mathcal{X}_{m_0} which possesses the global attractor

Remark: existence of the global attractor established without the restriction $|\bar{\varphi}| < 1$ on the generalized semiflow. In particular this result does not require the separation property

The convective nonlocal CH with degenerate mobility

Consider in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded, $d = 2, 3$)

$$\varphi_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div} (m(\varphi) \nabla \mu)$$

$$\mu = \mathbf{a} \varphi - \mathbf{J} * \varphi + F'(\varphi)$$

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca '13)

Let $\mathbf{u} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_{div}(\Omega)^d \cap L^\infty(\Omega)^d)$ be given and let $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t. $F(\varphi_0), M(\varphi_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then, \exists weak sol φ s.t. $\bar{\varphi}(t) = \bar{\varphi}_0$. Furthermore $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^p(\Omega))$, with $p \leq 6$ for $d = 3$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$ for $d = 2$. In addition, the following energy identity holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) F''(\varphi) |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a} m(\varphi) |\nabla \varphi|^2 \\ + \int_{\Omega} m(\varphi) (\varphi \nabla \mathbf{a} - \nabla \mathbf{J} * \varphi) \cdot \nabla \varphi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The convective nonlocal CH with degenerate mobility

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca '13)

The weak sol is unique

Hence, we can define a semiflow $S(t)$ on \mathcal{Y}_{m_0} , $m_0 \in [0, 1]$, endowed with the metric induced by the L^2 -norm and the arguments used in the proofs of the previous results can be adapted. In particular

Theorem (F., Grasselli & Rocca '13)

Assume $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^d$ is given independent of time. Then, the dynamical system $(\mathcal{Y}_{m_0}, S(t))$ possesses a connected global attractor

Remark: uniqueness of sol and existence of the global attractor for the local CH with degenerate mobility are open issues

Some developments and open issues

In progress

- *uniqueness of the weak sol in 2D*, regular potentials, constant mobility and viscosity; strong-weak uniqueness with variable viscosity in 2D and exp. attractors in 2D (with Grasselli and Gal)
- deep quench limit as $\theta \rightarrow 0$: the sol $z_\theta \rightarrow$ sol of nonlocal CHNS with degenerate mobility and double-obstacle potential ($\theta = 0$)

$$F(s) = \begin{cases} -(\theta_c/2)s^2 & \text{if } |s| \leq 1 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Local vs. nonlocal CH/CHNS: nonlocal CH/CHNS physically more realistic and more satisfactory results than local CH/CHNS

\Rightarrow nonlocal CH/CHNS maybe "a better" phenomenological model to describe two-phase fluids??

Open issues

- unmatched densities
- non-isothermal nonlocal CH-NS model
- compressible models