

# RATE-INDEPENDENT PROCESSES IN SOLIDS: combination with rate-dependent processes

Tomáš Roubíček  
(Sept.23, 2008, STAMM, Levico)

Charles University & Academy of Sciences, Prague

## Combination of rate-independent processes vs. rate-dependent processes.

$$\mathcal{T}' \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du}{dt} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\partial_{\frac{dz}{dt}} \mathcal{R}_1(z, \frac{dz}{dt}) + \partial_z \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) \ni 0. \quad (1b)$$

with

$u \in \mathcal{U}$  a “displacement” determined essentially by  $z$

$z \in \mathcal{Z}$  an “internal” variable with activated evolution,

$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the stored energy,

$\mathcal{R}_1 : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the dissipation pseudopotential

$\mathcal{R}_1(z, \cdot)$  (positively) homogeneous degree-1

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the dissipation pseudopotential of viscous forces, quadratic

$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the kinetic energy, quadratic

Functional-analytical ansatz:  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}$  Banach spaces,  $\mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert space,

$u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \frac{du}{dt} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{V},$

$\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}^*$  densely,

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  coercive.

## Combination of rate-independent processes vs. rate-dependent processes.

$$\mathcal{T}' \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du}{dt} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\partial_{\frac{dz}{dt}} \mathcal{R}_1(z, \frac{dz}{dt}) + \partial_z \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) \ni 0. \quad (1b)$$

with

$u \in \mathcal{U}$  a “displacement” evolving “viscously”

$z \in \mathcal{Z}$  an “internal” variable with activated evolution,

$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the stored energy,

$\mathcal{R}_1 : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the dissipation pseudopotential

$\mathcal{R}_1(z, \cdot)$  (positively) homogeneous degree-1

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the dissipation pseudopotential of viscous forces, quadratic

$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the kinetic energy, quadratic

Functional-analytical ansatz:  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}$  Banach spaces,  $\mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert space,

$u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \frac{du}{dt} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{V},$

$\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}^*$  densely,

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  coercive.

## Combination of rate-independent processes vs. rate-dependent processes.

$$\mathcal{T}' \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du}{dt} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\partial_{\frac{dz}{dt}} \mathcal{R}_1 \left( z, \frac{dz}{dt} \right) + \partial_z \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) \ni 0. \quad (1b)$$

with

$u \in \mathcal{U}$  a “displacement” evolving “viscously” and “inertially”

$z \in \mathcal{Z}$  an “internal” variable with activated evolution,

$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the stored energy,

$\mathcal{R}_1 : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the dissipation pseudopotential

$\mathcal{R}_1(z, \cdot)$  (positively) homogeneous degree-1

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the dissipation pseudopotential of viscous forces, quadratic

$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the kinetic energy, quadratic

Functional-analytical ansatz:  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}$  Banach spaces,  $\mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert space,

$u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \frac{du}{dt} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{V},$

$\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}^*$  densely,

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  coercive.

## Combination of rate-independent processes vs. rate-dependent processes.

$$\mathcal{T}' \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du}{dt} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\partial_{\frac{dz}{dt}} \mathcal{R}_1 \left( z, \frac{dz}{dt} \right) + \partial_z \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) \ni 0. \quad (1b)$$

with

$u \in \mathcal{U}$  a “displacement” evolving “viscously” and “inertially”

$z \in \mathcal{Z}$  an “internal” variable with activated evolution,

$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the stored energy,

$\mathcal{R}_1 : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the dissipation pseudopotential

$\mathcal{R}_1(z, \cdot)$  (positively) homogeneous degree-1

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the dissipation pseudopotential of viscous forces, quadratic

$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the kinetic energy, quadratic

Functional-analytical ansatz:  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}$  Banach spaces,  $\mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert space,

$$u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \frac{du}{dt} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{V},$$

$\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}^*$  densely,

$\mathcal{R}_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  coercive.

## Treatment of the general ansatz:

General theory of rate-independent processes based on  
dissipation distance:

$$\mathcal{D}_1(z_0, z_1) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_1\left(\tilde{z}(t), \frac{d\tilde{z}}{dt}(t)\right) dt; \right. \\ \left. \tilde{z} \in C^1([0, 1]; \mathcal{V}), \tilde{z}(0) = z_0, \tilde{z}(1) = z_1 \right\}$$

---

In principle,  $\mathcal{D}_1$  the dissipation distance can be treated as itself even without referring to  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and without any linear structure on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

But we will not pursue this high generality here.

**Simplification:**  $\mathcal{R}_1(z, \frac{dz}{dt}) = \mathcal{R}_1(\frac{dz}{dt})$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_1(z_0, z_1) = \mathcal{R}_1(z_1 - z_0)$  and we assume  $\mathcal{R}_1 : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  homogeneous degree-1 and coercive.

The philosophy of a suitable definition of a solution to (1) can be based on the **energetic-solution concept** of A.Mielke et al. applied, for  $u$  considered fixed, to the **system**  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{I}_u, \mathcal{R}_1)$  with (1b), by

$$\mathcal{I}_u(t, z) := [\mathcal{E} \circ u](t, z) = \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z),$$

and further combined with a conventional **weak-solution concept** as far as the “**momentum equation**” (1a) concerns.

We consider still **initial conditions**:

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad \frac{du}{dt}(0) = \dot{u}_0, \quad z(0) = z_0.$$

This leads to:

We call  $q = (u, z) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z}$  an **energetic solution** to the problem (1) with the initial conditions if

$$u \in C_w([0, T]; \mathcal{U}),$$

$$\frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(I; \mathcal{V}) \cap C_w([0, T]; \mathcal{H}),$$

$z : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  with  $z([0, T])$  relatively compact,

$\text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(z; 0, T) = (\text{the variation of } z \text{ over } [0, T] \text{ w.r.t. } \mathcal{R}_1) < \infty$ ,

$t \mapsto \partial_t \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z(t))$  is integrable on  $[0, T]$ ,

and if:

- the “**momentum equation**” (1a) with the initial condition  $\frac{du}{dt}(0) = \dot{u}_0$  holds in the weak sense, i.e.

$$\int_0^T \left\langle \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du}{dt} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z(t)), v(t) \right\rangle - \left( T' \frac{du}{dt} \Big| \frac{dv}{dt} \right) dt \\ + \left( T' \frac{du}{dt}(T) \Big| v(T) \right) = (T' \dot{u}_0 \Big| v(0)),$$

holds for all  $v \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{U}) \cap C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{V})$ ,

- the **energy inequality** holds, i.e.

$$T\left(\frac{du}{dt}(T)\right) + \mathcal{E}(T, u(T), z(T)) \\ + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(z; 0, T) + 2 \int_0^T \mathcal{R}_2\left(\frac{du}{dt}\right) dt \\ \leq T(\dot{u}_0) + \mathcal{E}(0, u_0, z_0) + \int_0^T \partial_t \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z(t)) dt,$$

- the **semi-stability** holds for all  $v \in \mathcal{Z}$  and for a.a.  $t \in I$ :

$$\mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), v) + \mathcal{R}_1(v - z(t))$$

- the remaining **initial conditions**  $u(0) = u_0$  and  $z(0) = z_0$  are satisfied.

Discretization in time by a **fully implicit formula**:

$$T' \frac{u_\tau^k - 2u_\tau^{k-1} + u_\tau^{k-2}}{\tau^2} + \mathcal{R}_2' \frac{u_\tau^k - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) = 0,$$
$$\partial \mathcal{R}_1 \left( \frac{z_\tau^k - z_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau} \right) + \partial_z \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) \ni 0$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u, z) := \mathcal{E}_\tau(k\tau, u, z)$  with  $\mathcal{E}_\tau(t, u, z) := \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{-\tau}^0 \mathcal{E}(t+\xi, u, z) d\xi$ ,  
for  $k = 1, \dots, T/\tau$  and using, for  $k = 1$ ,

$$u_\tau^0 = u_0, \quad u_\tau^{-1} = u_0 - \tau \dot{u}_0, \quad z_\tau^0 = z_0,$$

The existence of the discrete solution  $(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k)$ :

the [direct method](#),

$(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k)$  can be taken as a solution to:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \tau^2 T \left( \frac{u - 2u_\tau^{k-1} + u_\tau^{k-2}}{\tau^2} \right) + \tau \mathcal{R}_1 \left( \frac{z - z_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau} \right) \\ & + \tau \mathcal{R}_2 \left( \frac{u - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau} \right) + \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u, z) \\ \text{subject to} & (u, z) \in \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z}. \end{array} \quad (P_\tau^k)$$

It suggests a conceptually implementable numerical strategy.

A problem with deriving an energy balance:

the homogeneous-degree-1 term standardly rely on  $(P_\tau^k)$

the kinetic/viscous terms rely on optimality condition for  $(P_\tau^k)$

The existence of the discrete solution  $(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k)$ :

the [direct method](#),

$(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k)$  can be taken as a solution to:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \tau^2 T \left( \frac{u - 2u_\tau^{k-1} + u_\tau^{k-2}}{\tau^2} \right) + \mathcal{R}_1 \left( z - z_\tau^{k-1} \right) \\ & + \tau \mathcal{R}_2 \left( \frac{u - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau} \right) + \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u, z) \\ \text{subject to} & (u, z) \in \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z}. \end{array} \quad (P_\tau^k)$$

It suggests a conceptually implementable numerical strategy.

A problem with deriving an energy balance:

the homogeneous-degree-1 term standardly rely on  $(P_\tau^k)$

the kinetic/viscous terms rely on optimality condition for  $(P_\tau^k)$

Knowing already  $u_\tau^k$ , let us still consider  
 an auxiliary modified (partly linearized) minimization problem:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & \left( T' \frac{u_\tau^k - 2u_\tau^{k-1} + u_\tau^{k-2}}{\tau^2} \Big| u \right) + \mathcal{R}_1(z - z_\tau^{k-1}) \\ & + (1 - \sqrt{\tau}) \left\langle \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{u_\tau^k - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau}, u \right\rangle + \tau^{3/2} \mathcal{R}_2 \left( \frac{u - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u, z) \end{aligned} \right\} (\tilde{P}_\tau^k)$$

subject to  $(u, z) \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

Let us denote by  $(\tilde{u}_\tau^k, \tilde{z}_\tau^k)$  a solution to  $(\tilde{P}_\tau^k)$ .

This solution  $(\tilde{u}_\tau^k, \tilde{z}_\tau^k)$  to  $(\tilde{P}_\tau^k)$  must satisfy

$$T' \frac{u_\tau^k - 2u_\tau^{k-1} + u_\tau^{k-2}}{\tau^2} + (1 - \sqrt{\tau}) \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{u_\tau^k - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(\tilde{u}_\tau^k, \tilde{z}_\tau^k) = 0,$$

$$\partial \mathcal{R}_1 \left( \tilde{z}_\tau^k - z_\tau^{k-1} \right) + \partial_z \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(\tilde{u}_\tau^k, \tilde{z}_\tau^k) \ni 0.$$

- subtract these equality and (in fact) inequality respectively from the discrete formulas for  $(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k)$ ,
- test respectively by  $\tilde{u}_\tau^k - u_\tau^k$  and  $\tilde{z}_\tau^k - z_\tau^k$ ,
- sum it, and use degree-2 homogeneity of  $\mathcal{R}_2$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau}} \mathcal{R}_2(u_\tau^k - \tilde{u}_\tau^k) + \mathcal{R}_1(z_\tau^k - \tilde{z}_\tau^k) \\ & + \left\langle \partial \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) - \partial \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(\tilde{u}_\tau^k, \tilde{z}_\tau^k), (u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) - (\tilde{u}_\tau^k, \tilde{z}_\tau^k) \right\rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Strict convexity of  $(u, z) \mapsto \mathcal{E}(u, z) + \ell R_2(u)$  for some large  $\ell$

$$\implies \tilde{u}_\tau^k = u_\tau^k \text{ and } \tilde{z}_\tau^k = z_\tau^k \text{ if } \tau \leq \frac{\ell^2}{4}.$$

Abbreviate  $\tilde{J}_\tau^k$  := “cost functional of”  $\tilde{P}_\tau^k$ .

Then

$$\tilde{J}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) = \tilde{J}_\tau^k(\tilde{u}_\tau^k, \tilde{z}_\tau^k) = \min(\tilde{P}_\tau^k) \leq \tilde{J}_\tau^k(u_\tau^{k-1}, z_\tau^{k-1}).$$

It gives

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\frac{u_\tau^k - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau}\right) + \mathcal{R}_1(z_\tau^k - z_\tau^{k-1}) + \tau(2 - \sqrt{\tau}) \mathcal{R}_2\left(\frac{u_\tau^k - u_\tau^{k-1}}{\tau}\right) + \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) \\ \leq T\left(\frac{u_\tau^{k-1} - u_\tau^{k-2}}{\tau}\right) + \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^{k-1}, z_\tau^{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

We further use:

$$\mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^{k-1}, z_\tau^{k-1}) = \mathcal{E}_\tau^{k-1}(u_\tau^{k-1}, z_\tau^{k-1}) + \int_{t_\tau^{k-1}}^{t_\tau^k} \partial_t \mathcal{E}_\tau(t, u_\tau^{k-1}, z_\tau^{k-1}) dt.$$

Summing it for  $k = 1, \dots, T/\tau$ , we get the approximate energy balance:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{du_\tau}{dt}(T)\right) + \mathcal{E}(T, u_\tau(T), z_\tau(T)) \\ & + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(z_\tau; 0, T) + (2 - \sqrt{\tau}) \int_0^T \mathcal{R}_2\left(\frac{du_\tau}{dt}\right) dt \\ & \leq \mathcal{T}(\dot{u}_0) + \mathcal{E}(0, u_0, z_0) + \int_0^T \partial_t \mathcal{E}_\tau(t, \underline{u}_\tau(t), \underline{z}_\tau(t)) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_\tau &:= \text{piecewise affine interpolation of } \{u_\tau^k\}_{k=0}^{T/\tau}, \\ \bar{u}_\tau &:= \text{"forward" piecewise constant interpolation of } \{u_\tau^k\}_{k=0}^{T/\tau}, \\ \underline{u}_\tau &:= \text{"backward" piecewise constant interpolation of } \{u_\tau^k\}_{k=0}^{T/\tau}, \\ \text{and similarly for } z_\tau, \bar{z}_\tau, \text{ and } \underline{z}_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k)$  a solution to  $(P_\tau^k)$  and fixing  $u_\tau^k$ , we can see that  $z_\tau^k$  fulfills

$$\mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) + \mathcal{R}_1(z_\tau^k - z_\tau^{k-1}) \leq \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, v) + \mathcal{R}_1(v - z_\tau^{k-1})$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Using the triangle inequality of  $\mathcal{R}_1$ , we also know  $\mathcal{R}_1(v - z_\tau^{k-1}) - \mathcal{R}_1(z_\tau^k - z_\tau^{k-1}) \leq \mathcal{R}_1(v - z_\tau^k)$ . Altogether, we get

$$\mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, z_\tau^k) \leq \mathcal{E}_\tau^k(u_\tau^k, v) + \mathcal{R}_1(v - z_\tau^k).$$

After summation for  $k = 1, \dots, T/\tau$ , we get the “integrated” semi-stability for the discrete solution:

$$\int_0^T \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), \bar{z}_\tau(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), v(t)) + \mathcal{R}_1(v(t) - \bar{z}_\tau(t)) dt$$

holds for all  $v \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{Z})$ .

We have also the following discrete analog of the [momentum equation](#):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\langle \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du_\tau}{dt} + \partial_u \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), \bar{z}_\tau(t)), \bar{v}_\tau(t) \right\rangle dt - \int_\tau^T \left( T' \frac{du_\tau}{dt}(\cdot - \tau) \Big| \frac{dv_\tau}{dt} \right) dt \\ + \left( T' \frac{du_\tau}{dt}(T) \Big| v_\tau(T) \right) = (T' \dot{u}_0 \Big| v_\tau(\tau)), \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $v \in C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V})$  where  $v_\tau$  and  $\bar{v}_\tau$  are respectively the piecewise affine and the piecewise constant interpolants of  $\{v(k\tau)\}_{k=0}^{T/\tau}$ .

Standard assumptions on coercivity, lower semicontinuity, etc.

An essential assumption:

existence of a **joint recovery sequence** in the sense

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (t_k, u_k, z_k) \rightarrow (t, u, z) \quad \forall \tilde{z} \in \mathcal{Z} \quad \exists (\tilde{z}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} : \\ \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, \tilde{z}_k) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z}_k - z_k) - \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, z_k)) \\ \leq \mathcal{E}(t, u, \tilde{z}) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z} - z) - \mathcal{E}(t, u, z). \end{aligned}$$

Possibly, we also benefit from assuming a **uniform monotonicity** of  $\partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, z)$ .

Step 1: a-priori estimates: from the approximate energy balance by Gronwall inequality:

$$\|u_\tau\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{U})} \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; \mathcal{V}) \leq C_1, \quad (5a)$$

$$\left\| \frac{du_\tau}{dt} \right\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \cap \text{BV}([0, T]; \mathcal{U}^* + \mathcal{V}^*) \leq C_2, \quad (5b)$$

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), \bar{z}_\tau(t)) \leq C_3, \quad (5c)$$

$$\|z_\tau\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{Z})} \leq C_4; \quad (5d)$$

$$\text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(\bar{z}_\tau; 0, T) \leq C_5; \quad (5e)$$

note that the BV-estimate in (5b) represents an estimate of the acceleration  $\frac{d^2 u_\tau}{dt^2}$  as a measure  $M([0, T]; \mathcal{U}^* + \mathcal{V}^*)$ .

## Step 2: selection of subsequences

weakly\* converging (Banach's selection principle) to some  $u$  and  $z$ ,

pointwise converging (Helly's selection principle):

$$z_\tau(t) \rightarrow z(t) \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{Z} \text{ for all } t.$$

in case of a uniform monotonicity of  $\partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, z)$  also

$$u_\tau \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{U}).$$

Step 3: limit passage in the stability:  
using the joint recovery sequence condition for the approximate  
semi-stability

$$\int_0^T \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), \bar{z}_\tau(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), v(t)) + \mathcal{R}_1(v(t) - \bar{z}_\tau(t)) dt$$

to get the limit semi-stability

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), v(t)) + \mathcal{R}_1(v(t) - z(t)) dt$$

for all  $v \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{Z})$ .

Step 3: limit passage in the stability:  
using the joint recovery sequence condition for the approximate  
semi-stability

$$\int_0^T \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), \bar{z}_\tau(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), v(t)) + \mathcal{R}_1(v(t) - \bar{z}_\tau(t)) dt$$

to get the limit semi-stability and desintegrating it

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), v(t)) + \mathcal{R}_1(v(t) - z(t)) dt$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{Z}$  and a.a.  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Step 4: limit passage in the upper energy inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{du_\tau}{dt}(T)\right) + \mathcal{E}(T, u_\tau(T), z_\tau(T)) \\ & + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(z_\tau; 0, T) + (2 - \sqrt{\tau}) \int_0^T \mathcal{R}_2\left(\frac{du_\tau}{dt}\right) dt \\ & \leq \mathcal{T}(\dot{u}_0) + \mathcal{E}(0, u_0, z_0) + \int_0^T \partial_t \mathcal{E}_\tau(t, u_\tau(t), z_\tau(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

by lower semicontinuity in the l.h.s. and continuity in the r.h.s.

Step 4: limit passage in the upper energy inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{du_\tau}{dt}(T)\right) + \mathcal{E}(T, u_\tau(T), z_\tau(T)) \\ & + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(z_\tau; 0, T) + (2 - \sqrt{\tau}) \int_0^T \mathcal{R}_2\left(\frac{du_\tau}{dt}\right) dt \\ & \leq \mathcal{T}(\dot{u}_0) + \mathcal{E}(0, u_0, z_0) + \int_0^T \partial_t \mathcal{E}_\tau(t, u_\tau(t), z_\tau(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

by lower semicontinuity in the l.h.s. and continuity in the r.h.s.

### Step 5: the lower energy inequality:

semistability (a.e.) and upper-energy inequality allows  
by Riemann-sum approximation of Lebesgue integral to show  
the opposite inequality  $\Rightarrow$  the energy equality!

## Step 6: Improved convergence.

$$\forall t \in [0, T] : \text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(z_\tau; [0, t]) \rightarrow \text{Var}_{\mathcal{R}_1}(z; [0, t]);$$

$$\forall t \in [0, T] : \mathcal{E}(t, u_\tau(t), z_\tau(t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(t, u(t), z(t));$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}(\cdot, u_\tau(\cdot), z_\tau(\cdot)) \rightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{E}(\cdot, u(\cdot), z(\cdot)) \text{ in } L^1((0, T)).$$

### Step 7: Convergence in the approximate momentum equation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\langle \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du_\tau}{dt} + \partial_u \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau(t), \bar{z}_\tau(t)), \bar{v}_\tau(t) \right\rangle dt - \int_\tau^T \left( T' \frac{du_\tau}{dt}(\cdot - \tau) \Big| \frac{dv_\tau}{dt} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left( T' \frac{du_\tau}{dt}(T) \Big| v_\tau(T) \right) \right. \end{aligned}$$

The only delicate point is to ensure

$$\partial_u \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau, \bar{z}_\tau) \rightarrow \partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) \text{ weakly in } L^1(0, T; \mathcal{V}^*).$$

### Step 7: Convergence in the approximate momentum equation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\langle \mathcal{R}'_2 \frac{du_\tau}{dt} + \partial_u \mathcal{E}_\tau(t, u_\tau(t), z_\tau(t)), v_\tau(t) \right\rangle dt - \int_\tau^T \left( \tau' \frac{du_\tau}{dt}(\cdot - \tau) \Big| \frac{dv_\tau}{dt} \right) \\ + \left( \tau' \frac{du_\tau}{dt}(T) \Big| v_\tau(T) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The only delicate point is to ensure

$$\partial_u \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\tau(t, \bar{u}_\tau, \bar{z}_\tau) \rightarrow \partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, u, z) \text{ weakly in } L^1(0, T; \mathcal{V}^*).$$

## Stability under data perturbation:

$\Gamma$ -convergence of  $\mathcal{E}$ 's and  $\mathcal{R}$ 's and joint-recovery-sequence condition  
= a modification of [A.Mielke, T.R., U.Stefanelli].

No convexity of  $\mathcal{E} + \ell\mathcal{R}_2$  for large  $\ell$  needed now.

## Thermodynamical expansion possible:

$\mathcal{E}$  temperature dependent,

- fully implicit time discretization does not yield an incremental problem with a variational structure (existence by Schauder fixed point only)
- energetic-solution concept important (weak convergence of the dissipative heat source)
- $L^1$ -theory for heat equation (Boccardo, Galouët, et al.) and interpolation of the adiabatic-heat term (Gagliardo, Nirenberg)

## Linearized visco-plasticity with hardening at small strains:

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a bounded domain,

$u$  = displacement,

$z = (\pi, \eta)$  = the plastic deformation and the hardening parameter,

$\mathcal{U} = W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$\mathcal{Z} = L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym},0}^{d \times d} \times \mathbb{R})$ ,

with  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym},0}^{d \times d} := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}; A^\top = A, \text{tr}(A) = 0\}$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(t, u, \pi, \eta) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{e}(u) - \pi) : (\mathbf{e}(u) - \pi) + b\eta^2 - f(t) \cdot u \, dx,$$

with  $b > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{e}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u)^\top + \frac{1}{2}\nabla u$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\dot{\pi}, \dot{\eta}) = \int_{\Omega} \delta_P^*(\dot{\pi}) + \delta_S(\dot{\pi}, \dot{\eta}) \, dx,$$

$P \subset \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym},0}^{n \times n}$  be a convex closed neighbourhood of the origin,

$\delta_P$  is its indicator function, and  $\delta_P^*$  the conjugate functional to  $\delta_P$ ,

$\mathcal{S} := \{z = (\pi, \eta); \eta \geq \delta_P^*(\pi)\}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}\mathbf{e}(\dot{u}) : \mathbf{e}(\dot{u}) \, dx,$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varrho}{2} |\dot{u}|^2 \, dx.$$

## Main features:

$\mathcal{R}_1$  discontinuous but  $\mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  convex and quadratic.

Joint recovery sequence by the “binominal trick”:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, \tilde{z}_k) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z}_k - z_k) - \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, z_k) \right) \\
 &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi_k + \tilde{\pi}_k) - \mathbb{C}e(u_k) \right) : (\pi_k - \tilde{\pi}_k) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta_k + \tilde{\eta}_k)(\eta_k - \tilde{\eta}_k) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi}_k - \pi_k, \tilde{\eta}_k - \eta_k) \right) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi + \tilde{\pi}) - \mathbb{C}e(u) \right) : (\pi - \tilde{\pi}) + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta + \tilde{\eta})(\eta - \tilde{\eta}) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi} - \pi, \tilde{\eta} - \eta) \\
 &= \mathcal{E}(t, u, \tilde{z}) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z} - z) - \mathcal{E}(t, u, z),
 \end{aligned}$$

if we choose  $\tilde{\pi}_k := \tilde{\pi} - \pi + \pi_k$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_k := \tilde{\eta} - \eta + \eta_k$ .

---

Similar results by

H.-D.Alber, C.Carstensen, C.Chelminski, W.Han & D.Reddy, A.Mielke, at al.  
 Thermodynamical expansion for thermally dilatable materials:  
 S.Bartels & T.R. (in preparation)

## Main features:

$\mathcal{R}_1$  discontinuous but  $\mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  convex and quadratic.

Joint recovery sequence by the “binominal trick”:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, \tilde{z}_k) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z}_k - z_k) - \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, z_k) \right) \\
 &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi_k + \tilde{\pi}_k) - \mathbb{C}e(u_k) \right) : (\pi_k - \tilde{\pi}_k) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta_k + \tilde{\eta}_k)(\eta_k - \tilde{\eta}_k) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi}_k - \pi_k, \tilde{\eta}_k - \eta_k) \right) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi + \tilde{\pi}) - \mathbb{C}e(u) \right) : (\pi - \tilde{\pi}) + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta + \tilde{\eta})(\eta - \tilde{\eta}) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi} - \pi, \tilde{\eta} - \eta) \\
 &= \mathcal{E}(t, u, \tilde{z}) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z} - z) - \mathcal{E}(t, u, z),
 \end{aligned}$$

if we choose  $\tilde{\pi}_k := \tilde{\pi} - \pi + \pi_k$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_k := \tilde{\eta} - \eta + \eta_k$ .

---

Similar results by

H.-D.Alber, C.Carstensen, C.Chelminski, W.Han & D.Reddy, A.Mielke, at al.  
 Thermodynamical expansion for thermally dilatable materials:  
 S.Bartels & T.R. (in preparation)

## Main features:

$\mathcal{R}_1$  discontinuous but  $\mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  convex and quadratic.

Joint recovery sequence by the “binominal trick”:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, \tilde{z}_k) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z}_k - z_k) - \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, z_k) \right) \\
 &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi_k + \tilde{\pi}_k) - \mathbb{C}e(u_k) \right) : (\pi_k - \tilde{\pi}_k) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta_k + \tilde{\eta}_k)(\eta_k - \tilde{\eta}_k) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi}_k - \pi_k, \tilde{\eta}_k - \eta_k) \right) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi + \tilde{\pi}) - \mathbb{C}e(u) \right) : (\pi - \tilde{\pi}) + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta + \tilde{\eta})(\eta - \tilde{\eta}) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi} - \pi, \tilde{\eta} - \eta) \\
 &= \mathcal{E}(t, u, \tilde{z}) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z} - z) - \mathcal{E}(t, u, z),
 \end{aligned}$$

if we choose  $\tilde{\pi}_k := \tilde{\pi} - \pi + \pi_k$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_k := \tilde{\eta} - \eta + \eta_k$ .

---

Similar results by

H.-D.Alber, C.Carstensen, C.Chelminski, W.Han & D.Reddy, A.Mielke, at al.  
 Thermodynamical expansion for thermally dilatable materials:  
 S.Bartels & T.R. (in preparation)

## Main features:

$\mathcal{R}_1$  discontinuous but  $\mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  convex and quadratic.

Joint recovery sequence by the “binominal trick”:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, \tilde{z}_k) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z}_k - z_k) - \mathcal{E}(t_k, u_k, z_k) \right) \\
 &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi_k + \tilde{\pi}_k) - \mathbb{C}e(u_k) \right) : (\pi_k - \tilde{\pi}_k) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta_k + \tilde{\eta}_k)(\eta_k - \tilde{\eta}_k) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi}_k - \pi_k, \tilde{\eta}_k - \eta_k) \right) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\pi + \tilde{\pi}) - \mathbb{C}e(u) \right) : (\pi - \tilde{\pi}) + \frac{1}{2} b(\eta + \tilde{\eta})(\eta - \tilde{\eta}) dx + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{\pi} - \pi, \tilde{\eta} - \eta) \\
 &= \mathcal{E}(t, u, \tilde{z}) + \mathcal{R}_1(\tilde{z} - z) - \mathcal{E}(t, u, z),
 \end{aligned}$$

if we choose  $\tilde{\pi}_k := \tilde{\pi} - \pi + \pi_k$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_k := \tilde{\eta} - \eta + \eta_k$ .

---

Similar results by

H.-D.Alber, C.Carstensen, C.Chelminski, W.Han & D.Reddy, A.Mielke, at al.  
 Thermodynamical expansion for thermally dilatable materials:  
 S.Bartels & T.R. (in preparation)

## Gradient damage (partial) at small strains:

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a bounded domain,

$u$  = displacement,

$z$  = a scalar damage parameter,

$\mathcal{U} = W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$\mathcal{Z} = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(t, u, z) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{z}{2} \mathbb{C} \mathbf{e}(u) : \mathbf{e}(u) + \delta_{[0,1]}(z) + b |\nabla z|^p + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}_0 \mathbf{e}(u) : \mathbf{e}(u) - f(t) \cdot u \, dx,$$

with  $b > 0$  and  $\mathbb{C}_0$  positive definite,

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\dot{z}) = \int_{\Omega} \delta_{(-\infty, 0]}(\dot{z}) - \kappa \dot{z} \, dx,$$

with  $\kappa > 0$  the energy per  $d$ -dimensional volume dissipated by damage,

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D} \mathbf{e}(\dot{u}) : \mathbf{e}(\dot{u}) \, dx,$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varrho}{2} |\dot{u}|^2 \, dx.$$

Main features:

$\mathcal{R}_1$  discontinuous and  $\mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  nonconvex  
but  $\partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, z)$  uniformly monotone.

Regularization  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  by a term  $\varepsilon |e(u)|^6$ : then  
 $(e, z) \mapsto z^T e + \varepsilon |e|^6 + \ell |e|^2$  is strictly convex for  $\ell$  large, as need above.

Joint recovery sequence:

A.Mielke & T.R. (for  $p > d$ ), A.Mielke & M.Thomas (also for  $p \leq d$ ).

After having the energetic solution of the regularized problem, passage  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  possible because  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$   $\Gamma$ -converges to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

## Delamination:

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a bounded domain,

$\Gamma$  a  $d-1$  dimensional manifold inside  $\Omega$ ,

$u$  = displacement,

$z$  = a scalar delamination parameter,

$\mathcal{U} = W^{1,2}(\Omega \setminus \Gamma; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$\mathcal{Z} = L^\infty(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(t, u, z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathbb{C}e(u):e(u)}{2} - f \cdot u \, dx + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z}{\varepsilon} [u]_\Gamma^2 \, dS & \text{if } [u] \cdot \nu \geq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ +\infty & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

with  $\nu$  the normal to  $\Gamma$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\dot{z}) = \int_{\Gamma} \delta_{(-\infty, 0]}(\dot{z}) - \kappa \dot{z} \, dS, \text{ with}$$

$\kappa > 0$  the energy per  $d-1$ -dimensional surface dissipated by delamination,

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}e(\dot{u}) : e(\dot{u}) \, dx,$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varrho}{2} |\dot{u}|^2 \, dx.$$

Main features:

$\mathcal{R}_1$  discontinuous and  $\mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  nonconvex  
( $\Rightarrow$  a regularization  $\int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon |[u]|^6 dS$  helps),

but we benefit compactness of trace operator on  $\Gamma$   
( $\Rightarrow$  no gradient of  $z$  needed),

$\partial_u \mathcal{E}(t, \cdot, z)$  uniformly monotone.

$\Gamma$ -limit of  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ : a **brittle delamination**:

$$\mathcal{E}_\infty(t, u, z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathbb{C}e(u):e(u)}{2} - f \cdot u \, dx & \text{if } [u] \cdot \nu \geq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \ 0 \leq z \leq 1 \text{ on } \Gamma, \text{ and} \\ & [u(x)]_\Gamma = 0 \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Gamma \text{ such that } z(x) > 0, \\ +\infty & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Joint recovery sequence: T.R. & L.Scardia & C.Zanini (in preparation)

Applications in **geophysics** of short-time range:

spontaneous **rupture of faults** in lithospheric plates with kinetic-energy emission via **seismic waves** (**attenuated** by viscosity) that may

- 1) activate another **rupture** on another distant fault
- 2) manifest as an **earthquake** on the earth surface.

Modifications:

$z$  the slip along  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{R}_1(z, \dot{z})$  with monotonic  $\mathcal{R}_1(\cdot, \dot{z})$

(so-called "**slip weakening** concept used in geophysics")

Combination of delamination and slip weakening possible too.

## Some references:

- A.Mielke, T.Roubíček, U.Stefanelli:  $\Gamma$ -limits and relaxations for rate-independent evolutionary problems. *Calc. Var. P.D.E.* **31** (2008), 387-416.
- A.Mielke, T.Roubíček, J.Zeman: Complete damage in elastic and viscoelastic media and its energetics. *Comp. Methods Appl. Mech. Engr.*, submitted.
- T.Roubíček: Rate independent processes in viscous solids at small strains. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, printed electronically.
- T.Roubíček: Thermodynamics of rate independent processes in viscous solids at small strains. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, submitted.

Grazie per la vostra gentile attenzione.