

FBP'99

Chiba, November 7–13, 1999

**The conserved phase-field
system with memory**

Gianni Gilardi

Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Casorati”
Università degli Studi di Pavia
Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italy

Tel: +39 0382 50 56 42
Fax: +39 0382 50 56 02
E-mail: gilardi@dimat.unipv.it

1 _____ The system without memory

Standard conserved phase-field system in $\Omega \times (0, T)$:

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta\vartheta = g \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t\chi - \Delta w = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w := -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta \quad (3)$$

I.C. for ϑ, χ ; B.C. for ϑ, χ, w

Ω = domain in \mathbb{R}^3 (bounded, etc.)

ϑ = relative temperature

χ = order parameter

w = chemical potential

ℓ = latent heat

g = source term

Homogeneous Neumann condition for w

$\xrightarrow{(2)}$ conserved, i.e.

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \chi \, dx = 0$$

The above first equation

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta\vartheta = g$$

comes from the energy balance

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = g$$

and the **Fourier law** for the heat flux

$$\mathbf{q} = -k_0 \nabla \vartheta \quad (k_0 = 1)$$

Gurtin–Pipkin law:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(x, t) &= - \int_{-\infty}^t k(t-s) \nabla \vartheta(x, s) ds \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 k(t-s) \nabla \vartheta(x, s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t k(t-s) \nabla \vartheta(x, s) ds \\ &= \mathbf{q}_0(x, t) - (k * \nabla \vartheta)(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the energy balance becomes

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta(k * \vartheta) = \text{new } g$$

accounting also for the **known** past history \mathbf{q}_0 .

3 _____ Heat flux with memory

Typical assumptions on the **memory kernel**:

$$k \in L^1(0, T) \quad \text{and}$$

either smooth and $k(0) > 0$

$$\text{or pos. type, i.e., } \int_0^t (k * v)(s)v(s) ds \geq 0 \quad \forall t, v.$$

Prototype:

$$k_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{k_0}{\varepsilon} \exp(-t/\varepsilon)$$

where $k_0, \varepsilon > 0$. It comes from

$$\varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} = -k_0 \nabla \vartheta \quad \text{Cattaneo–Maxwell law.}$$

For small ε

$$k_\varepsilon \sim k_0 \times \{\text{Dirac mass}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{C-M} \sim \text{Fourier}$$

4 _____ The system with memory

So, we consider the system

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta(k * \vartheta) = g \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t\chi - \Delta w = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w := -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Neumann B.C. for } k * \vartheta, \chi, w \quad (4)$$

$$\text{I.C. for } \vartheta, \chi \quad (5)$$

or some generalization of it.

Conserved case, without memory:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta\vartheta &= g \\ \partial_t\chi - \Delta w &= 0 \\ w &:= -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta\end{aligned}$$

I.C. and B.C.

or some generalization.

Caginalp
Alt, Palow
Kenmochi, Niezgódka
Brochet, Hilhorst, Novick-Cohen
.....
existence, uniqueness, attractors...

Wide literature on Cahn–Hilliard equation, e.g.,
Novick-Cohen (survey)
Kenmochi, Niezgódka, Pawlow (C-H + constraints)

Non-conserved case, with memory (G-P):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta(k * \vartheta) &= g \\ \partial_t\chi + w &= 0 \quad (\text{instead of } \partial_t\chi - \Delta w = 0) \\ w &:= -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta\end{aligned}$$

I.C. and B.C.

or some generalization.

Aizicovici, Barbu

Colli, G., Grasselli

Colli, Laurençot

.....
existence, uniqueness, longtime behavior,
asymptotic analyses...

Other laws with memory for \mathbf{q}

Conserved case, with memory:

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta(k * \vartheta) = g \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t\chi - \Delta w = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w := -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta \quad (3)$$

$$\text{B.C. for } k * \vartheta, \chi, w \quad (4)$$

$$\text{I.C. for } \vartheta, \chi \quad (5)$$

Novick-Cohen:

existence, regularizing effect, partial uniqueness

- Colli, G., Laurençot, Novick-Cohen:
uniqueness, longtime behavior
- Colli, G., Grasselli, Schimperna:
existence, uniqueness, regularity
for a generalized system
- Felli, Rocca:
asymptotic analyses

Felli: limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta(k_\varepsilon * \vartheta) = g \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } k_\varepsilon(t) \sim \frac{k_0}{\varepsilon} \exp(-t/\varepsilon)$$

$$\partial_t\chi - \Delta w = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w := -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta \quad (3)$$

$$\text{N.B.C. for } k_\varepsilon * \vartheta, \chi, w. \quad (4)$$

$$\text{I.C. for } \vartheta, \chi \quad (5)$$

The limit problem is the conserved phase field system without memory.

Rocca: limit as $\mu \rightarrow 0$ of

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta(k * \vartheta) = g \quad (1)$$

$$\mu\partial_t\chi - \Delta w = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w := -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta \quad (3)$$

$$\text{N.B.C. for } k * \vartheta, \chi, w. \quad (4)$$

$$\text{I.C. for } \vartheta, \chi \quad (5)$$

with some restriction on ℓ . The limit problem is obtained taking $\mu = 0$ (I.C. just for $\vartheta + \ell\chi$). In the limit the subsystem (2-3) can be simplified.

Recall (forgetting about I.C.)

$$\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell\chi) - \Delta(k * \vartheta) = g \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t\chi - \Delta w = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w := -\Delta\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta \quad (3)$$

$$\text{homogeneous N.B.C. for } k * \vartheta, \chi, w \quad (4)$$

$$H := L^2(\Omega), \quad V := H^1(\Omega),$$

$$W := \{v \in H^2(\Omega) : \partial_n v = 0\}$$

$$\implies W \subset V \subset H \subset V' \subset W'$$

$$\langle Au, v \rangle := \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u)v = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta v)$$

($u, v \in$ appropriate spaces)

$$\implies A : W \rightarrow H, \quad A : V \rightarrow V', \quad A : H \rightarrow W'.$$

Then (1–4) becomes

$$(\vartheta + \ell\chi)' + A(k * \vartheta) = g$$

$$\chi' + Aw = 0$$

$$w := A\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta$$

and I.C. for ϑ, χ must be added.

Theorem 1. Assume

$k \in L^1(0, T)$ and k of positive type, i.e.,

$$\int_0^t (k * v)(s)v(s) ds \geq 0 \quad \forall t, v$$

$$g \in L^1(0, T; H), \quad \vartheta_0 \in H, \quad \chi_0 \in V.$$

Then the problem

$$(\vartheta + \ell\chi)' + A(k * \vartheta) = g$$

$$\chi' + Aw = 0$$

$$w := A\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta$$

$$\vartheta(0) = \vartheta_0, \quad \chi(0) = \chi_0$$

has a unique solution (ϑ, χ) satisfying

$$\vartheta \in C^0([0, T]; H) \cap H^1(0, T; W')$$

$$\chi \in L^2(0, T; W) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V) \cap H^1(0, T; V')$$

$$w \in L^2(0, T; V). \blacksquare$$

Theorem 2. *HP's as above for any $T > 0$ and*

$$k \in L^1(0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty k(s) ds \neq 0$$

$$g \in L^1(0, \infty; H).$$

Let ω be the ω -limit in $V' \times H$ according to:
 $(\vartheta_\infty, \chi_\infty) \in \omega$ iff

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{there exists } \{t_n\} \nearrow +\infty \text{ such that} \\ &\vartheta(t_n) \rightarrow \vartheta_\infty \text{ in } V' \\ &\chi(t_n) \rightarrow \chi_\infty \text{ in } H. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\omega \neq \emptyset$, compact, connected. Moreover,
 $(\vartheta_\infty, \chi_\infty) \in \omega$ implies:

- ϑ_∞ is constant, namely

$$\vartheta_\infty := \int_{\Omega} \left(\vartheta_0 + \int_0^\infty g dt \right)$$

and the whole $\{\vartheta(t)\}$ converges to ϑ_∞ ;

- χ_∞ belongs to V and solves

$$\begin{aligned} A\chi_\infty + \chi_\infty^3 - \chi_\infty - \ell\vartheta_\infty &= \text{const.} \\ \int_{\Omega} \chi_\infty &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_0. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite two equations as

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta' + \ell\chi' + A(k * \vartheta) &= g \\ w := A\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell\vartheta. &\end{aligned}$$

Generalize as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda'(\chi) & & \\ \uparrow & & \\ \vartheta' + \ell\chi' + A(k * \vartheta) & = g & \\ & & \\ \lambda'(\chi) & & \\ \uparrow & & \\ w := A\chi + \underbrace{\chi^3 - \chi}_{-\ell\vartheta} & & \boxed{\frac{1}{4}(\chi^2 - 1)^2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overbrace{\beta(\chi) + \sigma'(\chi)} & = \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} (\overbrace{j(\chi) + \sigma(\chi)} &) \end{array}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\vartheta + \lambda(\chi))' + A(k * \vartheta) &= g \\ w := A\chi + \beta(\chi) + \sigma'(\chi) - \lambda'(\chi)\vartheta &\end{aligned}$$

or, more generally,

$$w = A\chi + \xi + \sigma'(\chi) - \lambda'(\chi)\vartheta, \quad \xi \in \beta(\chi)$$

Hence, we consider the system

$$(\vartheta + \lambda(\chi))' + A(k * \vartheta) = f \quad (1)$$

$$\chi' + Aw = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w = A\chi + \xi + \sigma'(\chi) - \lambda'(\chi)\vartheta \quad (3)$$

$$\chi \in D(\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \in \beta(\chi) \quad (4)$$

$$\vartheta(0) = \vartheta_0, \quad \chi(0) = \chi_0 \quad (5)$$

where λ, β, σ are as general as possible.

Structure assumptions:

$$k \in W^{2,1}(0, T) \quad \text{and} \quad k(0) > 0$$

$$j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty] \quad \text{convex, proper, l.s.c.}$$

$$j(0) = 0, \quad \beta = \partial j \quad (\implies \beta(0) \ni 0)$$

$$\lambda, \sigma \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda', \sigma' \quad \text{Lipschitz}$$

maybe: also λ Lipschitz

New unknown. Replace ϑ by

$$u := 1 * \vartheta \quad (\text{freezing index})$$

Then the system becomes

$$(u' + \lambda(\chi))' + k(0)Au = f - A(k'* u) \quad (1)$$

$$\chi' + Aw = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$w = A\chi + \xi + \sigma'(\chi) - \lambda'(\chi)u' \quad (3)$$

$$\chi \in D(\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \in \beta(\chi) \quad (4)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = \vartheta_0, \quad \chi(0) = \chi_0 \quad (5)$$

and we look for solutions (u, χ, w, ξ) satisfying

$$u \in C^0([0, T]; V) \cap C^1([0, T]; H)$$

$$\chi \in L^2(0, T; W) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V) \cap H^1(0, T; V')$$

$$j(\chi) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$$

$$w \in L^2(0, T; V)$$

$$\xi \in L^2(0, T; H)$$

Theorem 1. Let all the above structure assumptions hold and assume

$$\begin{aligned} f &\in L^1(0, T; H) + W^{1,1}(0, T; V') \\ \vartheta_0 &\in H, \quad \chi_0 \in V, \quad j(\chi_0) \in L^1(\Omega) \\ \int_{\Omega} \chi_0 &\in \text{int } D(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a unique (u, χ) and some (w, ξ) such that (u, χ, w, ξ) is a solution to (1–5) with the required regularity. ■

Theorem 2. HP's as in Thm 1 but the Lipschitz continuity of λ .

Then the existence part still holds true. ■

Theorem 3. *HP's as in Thm 1. If moreover*

$$f \in W^{1,1}(0, T; H) + W^{2,1}(0, T; V'), \quad f(0) \in H \\ \vartheta_0 \in V$$

then

$$u + 1 * \lambda(\chi) \in W^{2,\infty}(0, T; H) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; V). \blacksquare$$

Theorem 4. *HP's as in Thm 1. If moreover*

$$f \in L^2(0, T; H) + W^{1,1}(0, T; V'), \quad \chi_0 \in D(\beta) \text{ a.e.}$$

and there exists ξ_0 such that

$$\xi_0 \in H, \quad \xi_0 \in \beta(\chi_0) \text{ a.e.} \\ w_0 := A\chi_0 + \xi_0 + \sigma'(\chi_0) - \lambda'(\chi_0)\vartheta_0 \in V$$

then

$$\chi \in L^\infty(0, T; W) \cap H^1(0, T; V) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; V'). \blacksquare$$